

CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

MINUTES FOR Meeting of January 7, 2020

As Approved by the City Council at their regular meeting of January 21, 2020

The public is advised that pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.5 all writings submitted to the City Council are public records and will be made available for review.

Please note that minutes are not verbatim minutes and are meant to be the City's record of a summary of actions that took place at the meeting. City Council video recording are kept for a period of one year from date of meeting.

Notice: All resolutions and ordinances introduced and/or adopted under this agenda are waived of all reading of entire resolution(s) and ordinance(s).

The Sebastopol City Council welcomes you to its meetings that are generally scheduled for the 1st and 3rd Tuesday of every month. Your interest and participation are encouraged and appreciated.

SIMULTANEOUS MEETING COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE (Government Code § 54952.3): Members of the City Council receive no additional compensation as a result of convening a joint meeting of the City Council and Successor Agency to the Former Community Development Agency

SB 751 Legislative bodies of local agencies must publicly report: (1) any action taken and (2) the vote or abstention on each action taken by each member present for the action at a meeting.

A notice of the meeting was posted by the City Clerk on December 30, 2019.

5:00 pm: Convene City Closed Special Meeting (Closed Session), Sebastopol City Hall Conference Room, 7120 Bodega Avenue, Sebastopol, CA

The Closed Session Meeting was cancelled.

Reference Number: 2020-001

6:00 pm

REGULAR MEETING: CONVENE City Council Regular Meeting, Sebastopol Youth Annex/Teen Center, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA

CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Slayter called the regular meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

Mayor Slayter convened the meeting in memory of former Fifth District Supervisor Mike Reilly.

Vice Mayor Glass make the following remarks in memory of Mike Reilly:

- Sitting in a building that Mike Reilly made happen
- Needed space for youth
- Really good at getting volunteers to pitch in
- Grateful to him
- Served on the Board of Supervisors for years

- Had a youth program for troubled kids
- Chief of Staff for Dan Hauser (Assembly Member)
- Ran West County Community Services (Now a partner with City for Park Village)
- Cared about nature – on the Coastal Commisison and Coastal Conservancy – responsible for Jenner Headlands

ROLL CALL

Present: Mayor Slayter
Vice Mayor Glass
Councilmember Carnacchi
Councilmember Gurney
Councilmember Hinton

Absent: None

Staff: City Manager/City Attorney Larry McLaughlin
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley
Administrative Services Director Ana Kwong
Engineering Manager Henry Mikus
Planning Director Kari Svanstrom
Acting Police Chief Greg DeVore

REPORT OUT OFF CLOSED SESSION: Mayor Slayter stated the Closed Session Meeting at 5:00 pm had been cancelled.

SALUTE TO THE FLAG: Mayor Slayter led the salute to the flag.

PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS:

The following were presented:

- Years of Service Award - Ana Kwong - Administrative Services Director - 5 Years
- Proclamation Recognizing the Rialto Cinemas on their 20th Anniversary

Reference Number: 2020-002

PUBLIC COMMENT (At this time, members of the public may comment on any item not appearing on the agenda. It is recommended that you keep your comments to three minutes or less. Under State Law, unless otherwise permitted under the Ralph M. Brown Act, the merits of the matters presented under this item cannot be discussed or acted upon by the City Council at this time. For items appearing on the agenda, the public will be invited to make comments at the time the item comes up for Council consideration.)

zen commented as follows:

- Not want sanctioned homeless encampments
- Liability
- Incentivizing the bad behavior
- Disincentivize the solution
- Disagree with CA supreme court ruling - Unjust
- Discussed Fifth Amendment

- Unfair to shake down developers
- Discussed expulsion of students
- People who sell drugs are going to use kids – child exploitation – use kids to sell heroine and meth
- Solution for encampment – light up the place weapons – bring out one at a time – cuff – arrest for drugs – clean-up spot
- Public health issue
- Appeal any judge who fights it
- Taxing everyone

Jann, Gypsy Café, commented as follows:

- Flat Bed Music Festival
- June 4th – 5th
- Save the Date Flyer
- 10 stages
- 60 musicians

Arthur George, West County Homeless Advocates commented as follows:

- Discussed three elements to address homeless issue
- Safe parking
- Sanitation and Toilets
- Housing
- Provided Council with statement of costs for portable toilets
- Provide what is available and where they could go
- Discussed Appendix Q of International Building code
- Discussed requirement of cities to assess housing inventory

Jim Glomb commented as follows:

- Love this town
- Is his home
- Has office that overlooks the town square
- Different way to look at homeless
- People are neighbors
- Be a good friend
- Asked what is needed – sack lunches are what he was told and they have been providing that
- Has a dream – create little tiny home villages – can do this in our town
- Working with other local folks who are passionate about this
- Will be working on something more concrete
- Need piece of land for tiny homes

Rei Blaser commented as follows:

- SDA
- Gratitude to Mayor Slayter for acknowledging Rialto
- Looked at SDA businesses and years contributed to community

- Pointed out and acknowledged: Rosemary Gardens – 32 years; Safeway – 54 years; Screaming Mimi's- 25 years; Oil company 31 years; 25 years
- How can the City work with the business community to acknowledge those businesses
- Quarter Century Club in the City
- Put in newsletter
- Gratitude for waiving parking limits on Main Street
- Many grateful - Not a lot of downside to it
- Looking at that for next holiday
- What does it take to change the one hour to two-hour parking on Main Street
- Wanting to park, shop, and eat
- Losing one of the main downtown merchants – Cultivate Home is closing

Linda Collins commented as follows:

- Chamber of Commerce
- Challenging year in 2019
- Looking forward to 2020
- Hats off to Rei – doing that all by herself and always in the street
- Community Awards coming up
- Encouraged the public to nominate persons

ila Benavidez-Heaster commented as follows:

- Thanked the Council for Huntley Square discussion
- Was very frustrated
- Liked what the Council did
- Is doing good work
- Provide stability
- Provide structure
- Discussed article on developments
- Took offense to Woodmark
- DRB is very pro-development and support people all the way – however Woodmark does not support our values, not a good fit, language did not reflect that
- Learned today that individuals in town who have mental health issues - have nowhere for them to go
- Need to start looking at where we can take our people

Catherine Marrey commented as follows:

- Discussed Woodmark project
- Discussed traffic and impacts on trees
- 84-unit development – what came out in the meeting – not just low income- it is low income for farm workers only
- That is where the money is coming from
- Deeply troubled it moved into the process of design
- Need more affordable housing

- Deeply troubled when need to be talking about who is leaving there
- Who needs housing in this community
- Not in need of farm workers only units
- Hope this will not get stuck at the review stage
- Developer getting money from Department of Agriculture
- Approaching this backwards
- Need to be proactive
- Discussed the water bills
- Have question of the timing of the study in relation to this development and the one next to O'Reilly
- Will they be paying for their fair share

STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST BY MAYOR/CITY COUNCILMEMBERS FOR ITEMS ON THE AGENDA (This is the time for the Mayor or City Councilmembers to indicate any statements of conflicts of interests for any item listed on this agenda). There were none.

CONSENT CALENDAR:

- The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar.
- The Mayor will read the consent calendar items; ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to three minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an agenda item or items be removed for discussion.
- If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tern.
- Council Members may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tem.

Mayor Slayter read the consent calendar items.

Mayor Slayter questioned if any Councilmember(s) wanted to remove any item(s) from the consent calendar.

Councilmember Carnacchi requested item number 4 be pulled from the consent calendar.

Mayor Slayter asked for public comment on the consent calendar items.

zen asked to make comments on item number 5.

- Thanked the council for adopting procedures to speak on the consent calendar item
- Thanked Councilmember Carnacchi for recognizing this issue
- Discussed removing video games from the library
- Counterintuitive to play video games at the library

Vice Mayor Glass moved, and Councilmember Gurney seconded the motion to approve Consent Calendar Item(s) Number(s) 2, 3, and 5.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmember Carnacchi, Gurney, Hinton, Vice Mayor Glass and Mayor Slayter
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

2. Approval of Minutes of the City Council Meeting of December 17, 2019 (Responsible Department: City Administration)

City Council Action: Approved Minutes of the City Council Meeting of December 17, 2019

Minute Order Number: 2020-003

3. Receipt of 2019 Minute/Reference Orders for the City of Sebastopol City Council (Responsible Department: City Administration)

City Council Action: Received 2019 Minute/Reference Orders for the City of Sebastopol City Council

Minute Order Number: 2020-004

- ~~4. Approval of Outside Service Area Agreement (OSAA) for both water and sewer at 647 Lyding Lane (Responsible Department: Engineering Manager)~~

5. Approval of Receipt of Informational Item – Vacancy to fill remaining term - Sonoma County Library Commission City Representative, Stephen Zollman – Term Expires July 31, 2022 (Responsible Department: City Administration)

City Council Action: Approved Receipt of Informational Item – Vacancy to fill remaining term - Sonoma County Library Commission City Representative, Stephen Zollman – Term Expires July 31, 2022

Minute Order Number: 2020-006

4. Approval of Outside Service Area Agreement (OSAA) for both water and sewer at 647 Lyding Lane (Wolfgang Dilger {representing property owner Sacco Dilger Trust}) (Responsible Department: Engineering Manager)

Engineering Manager Mikus presented the agenda item recommending the City Council approve the Outside Service Area Agreement (OSAA) for both water and sewer at 647 Lyding Lane.

Mayor Slayter asked for questions.

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed the Urban Growth Boundary - page 2.9 of the Land Use
- Read from the General Plan (LU 2-3)
- Want to make sure the six findings are met – do not think that we meet the requirements of each and every one of them
- Needs more discourse to make sure we are in alignment with our General Plan

Councilmember Gurney questioned if the applicant is here.

Manager Mikus stated the applicant is overseas.

Vice Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Agree with why we passed the UGB
- Not extend unless met need for emergency issues
- Issue with them being able to have healthy water
- City going to rescue them by providing services if the case is met
- How compelling a reason to extend this service in this situation

Manager Mikus commented as follows:

- Discussed the applicant razing the building
- In disrepair
- Discussed the age of the system
- Discussed issue with well water level in drought
- Applicant stated it was time to fix it Applicant stated desire to replace well and septic at time of rebuild

Councilmember Carnacchi questioned if this needs to meet each and every one of the requirements in the findings for approval.

City Manager/Attorney Mclaughlin commented as follows:

- Yes, but is in the discretion of the City Council of what the meaning is and if it has been satisfied
- Discussed history of these items
- Turn down far more requests than accepted
- Discussed County position – septic systems should be replaced where City services are available
- General provision
- Nearby residences all have City services to their residents
- City services readily available
- Not know development potential of this property
- Know it is on a septic system
- General premise to replace those
- Looked at in positive way

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Would like to discuss each finding
- Would like to discuss whether each and every finding in the provisions are met or not

Councilmember Gurney asked Councilmember Carnacchi to read the six points for the public so that the public could comment.

Councilmember Hinton questioned the size of the parcel. Staff stated it is 1.9 acres.

Councilmember Carnacchi read the findings.

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment. There was none.

Council Deliberations:

Mayor Slayter asked if any Councilmember had any issues with regards to any of the six items.

City Manager commented he has comments for item c as was discussed with Councilmember Carnacchi.

Item A: The Council was in concurrence that this was met.

Item B:

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Government Code devoted to open space land
- Does not talk of residential
- Application does not meet this provision or criteria

City Manager/Attorney McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Look at situations of developing vacant land that could be used for agricultural practices
- Not the situation with this property
- Fully improved with residence
- Section is complied with in his opinion

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Compatible with open space use
- Looked at county zoning ordinance - Zoned AR
- Lower density than adjacent City zoning
- Discussed lot sizes
- Not large enough to be subdivided

Vice Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Is there any chance of the County rezoning or granting a variance to that property
- Entire point of the UGB- worked on first UGB campaign and helped draft that – contain City services to our City and provide those services to the people who live in our City limits and who pay taxes in our City limits and pay fees in our City limits
- Not extend our infrastructure beyond our City boundary unless compelling situations
- Intent to restrict putting the infrastructure that our City has invested in extending it to areas outside boundary unless emergency compelling reason
- Point of UGB – stop urban sprawl
- Is there a compelling reason to extend
- If we do extend it and no compelling reason is that going to set bad precedent for other people to come to the City
- Changing the value of the property – allowing our infrastructure to be available to a property that is not within our boundary

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- County governs zoning and decisions about this property

- Discussed Belmont Terrace – will pay to connect – aging neighborhood – water system function – septic’s that are close to their limits
- Resistant to signing up because of the expense
- City has more capacity than we know -Discussed level of service
- Discussed conservation efforts - reduced use – capacity more than we thought it would be

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Current sewer capacity - 46% of our allowed capacity
- Standard met
- Discussed conservation measures
- 2019-2017 – 4% decrease
- Putting less water into the sewer
- Discussed fresh water supply
- Discussed decreased water consumption

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Address the issue of sprawl
- Something is there now
- How are we as a neighbor to these people if they are presented with a public health and safety issue on their property
- Need to connect the dots
- Is this a serious issue or a matter of convenience

Manager Mikus stated there are no issues with the well or septic system that he is aware of.

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- 2005 Repair permit for septic
- Unknown history before that
- Pre-dates record keeping
- Original structure built 1936

Item C: The Council is in concurrence that this has been met.

Item D:

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Not sure this meets that requirement
- Not deprived if we do not do this
- Not depriving them of something the other properties outside the UGB has
- All other properties have wells

Manager Mikus commented the adjacent properties are on wells.

Vice Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Giving them a privilege other would not have
- Sets precedent

Item E: To be determined – not been to LAFCO.

Item F: Not addressed

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Logical request
- Understand why it was made given the location of property and main trunk lines
- Looked up UGB - Had questions as well
- Piece of information missing is no data to support technical need to connect
- Nothing provided (flow rates, percolate test, septic system standard or something that could otherwise be constructed on the parcel)
- Do not have data
- Give Council more information to make a decision
- Council is guessing tonight

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed map provided
- Checked about properties on DuFranc
- Discussed City limits
- Questioned if the sewer trunk lines go under the road up DuFranc up to Meadow Court – staff stated that is correct
- Establishing precedent that row of houses could ask to join within reach of the line to Meadow Court
- See services extended beyond this one property if other neighbors are following this

Vice Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Could apply that theory to other sides of our UGB limits
- Undermines the whole point of the UGB unless compelling reason

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Consider health and safety
- Failed septic system - no information on that
- Whether support new system – not know – but could support a new system that would respect our UGB
- Decline for the moment until have additional data
- May truly be a health and safety issue but it is not known at this time

Councilmember Hinton commented as follows:

- Not see anywhere claiming health and safety
- Open us up to Item D
- Agree with what has been said
- If do this are we depriving others
- Looks like a property upgrade
- Not approve unless see otherwise

Mayor Slayter questioned if a decision has to be made tonight.

City Manager/Attorney McLaughlin stated the Council could deny this without prejudice.

Mayor Slayter questioned the fee for the application. Staff stated it is \$1500.00.

Councilmember Gurney moved and Councilmember Carnacchi seconded the motion to deny without prejudice the Outside Service Area Agreement (OSAA) for both water and sewer at 647 Lyding Lane. (Wolfgang Dilger {representing property owner Sacco Dilger Trust) and asked staff to speak with the applicant and call out the six points/findings to attention to the applicant to determine if the applicant would like to re-apply.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmember Carnacchi, Gurney, Hinton, Vice Mayor Glass and Mayor Slayter

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Denied the application without prejudice the Outside Service Area Agreement (OSAA) for both water and sewer at 647 Lyding Lane. (Wolfgang Dilger {representing property owner Sacco Dilger Trust) and call six points to attention to the applicant to determine if the applicant would like to re-apply.

Minute Order Number: 2020-005

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATION: None

PUBLIC HEARING(s):

6. Public Hearing – To conduct a Public Hearing on the Water/Wastewater Rate Study/Prop 218 Notice Results (Responsible Department: Administrative Services Director/Consultant)

Administrative Services Director Kwong presented the agenda item recommending the City Council receive the agenda item, conduct a public hearing, receive any further protests and approve the Water/Wastewater Rate Study/Prop 218 Notice Results. The City's Consultant, Chris Fisher, Willdan, was in attendance and provided a recap and presentation to the City Council and was available to answer questions.

Mayor Slayter asked for questions.

Councilmember Hinton questioned when the last rate increase was – staff stated 2016

Councilmember Hinton commented as follows:

- Comment on why the water bills are lower in the cities below Sebastopol
- Are they not transporting sewer to Santa Rosa
- Other reasons

Mr. Fisher commented as follows:

- Not have much insight to specifics with the agencies below Sebastopol water rate, as Willdan didn't do their water rate study for those agencies
- Variety of reasons
- Capital demands may be less
- Less aging system
- Putting off system replacements
- Personnel costs
- Treatment needs

Councilmember Hinton commented as follows

- Recommended 7% this year:
- Last two years 4.5% - Steep
- Why not 6% and then 5% and 5%

Mr. Fisher commented as follows:

- Need money now
- Looked at different options
- Without first initial increase not possible to maintain reserve requirements

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed multi-family slide
- Discussed 564 – 10 units
- Next slide forward – 22 units - more units is showing less

Mr. Fisher commented as follows:

- Labels may have gotten mixed up
- Will review
- Labels on slide may have gotten reversed

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Has the consultant done this for other jurisdictions
- In those jurisdictions are there creative ways to incentivize residents to use less water

Mr. Fisher commented as follows:

- All sorts of different conservation tools
- Depending on resources
- Rates is one of the tools
- Structured or tiered rates
- Flow reduction devices
- Curbing outdoor water use
- Times for watering
- Different programs
- Movement to reduce number of tiers
- Requirement to make them cost based

- Difficult to do
- Cannot make tiers discretionary – cost-based reasoning for doing that – engineering data needed

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Rebates that were offered by GSA
- Publicity
- Good time to tie that in
- Great rebate for low flush toilets
- Drought resistant lawns

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed the 700 cu feet and comparing cities
- Reason lower – newer communities
- Sebastopol is an old town
- Major expense when something breaks
- Water conservation program in Windsor – just being made available to more cities
- Discussed page 10
- Rate increase impact projection
- Understand what this would mean for our customers in terms of their bills
- \$35.00 increase in bi monthly milling – over five years - \$42.00 a year
- Is that affordable or difficult
- Responsibility to measure if that impact is too great livable for our system

Mr. Fisher stated that is correct.

Vice Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Not a tiered rate schedule – that is correct
- Have we had tiered rates in the past – yes for water
- Reason going away from tier rates -is this State law or precedent to using tiered rates – use cost-based basis for rates as oppose to other policy objectives – yes- rates based on cost to provide that service
- Need to have analysis that demonstrates a higher level of costs attributable to customers that pay a higher rate
- Requirement strengthened a few years back
- Put more weight on that requirement
- Collapsing tiers if not have engineering data
- Looking at historical water use – first tier not necessary
- Simplify rate structure
- No requirement to have tiered rates
- CA Supreme court - CA supreme court - 4 years ago San Juan Capistrano – sued city
- Direct conflict in State of California lowering carbon footprint
- Contradictory to State overall policy goals
- Not make sense

Mr. Fisher commented as follows:

- Source of frustration
- Correct
- State arguing against itself

Mayor Slayter opened the public hearing.

zen commented as follows:

- Debt obligations as impossible contract
- Fraud in lack of disclosure – impossible contract
- Discussed fractural reserve system of banks
- No way for contract to be fulfilled unless debt expanded
- Discussed debt State
- Made up by international banking cartels
- Debt instruments are not currency
- Discussed distilled water
- Discussed fracking
- Discussed City of Petaluma – had to raise the rates because they could not meet their debt service

Virginia Anderegg commented as follows:

- Retired 25-year water resource manager
- Thanked the Council for rate study
- Unpleasant thing to have to do but important to do
- Discussed positive impacts of taking care of aging systems
- Looks like solid rate study
- Doing something with conservation by taking that first step
- People paying for all the water that flows through their meter
- Looks reasonable
- Need to take care of it
- Need to do hard work of replacement
- In support of item and increases

Steve Dillon commented as follows:

- Discussed low or fixed incomes to have lower rates
- Does Sebastopol have that

Catherine Marrey commented as follows:

- Would these proposed large developments in town how does that figure into their water usage and sewage uses
- Increase demand on facilities
- Do they have to pay upfront costs
- Is study done because of this large growth
- Regardless of how many people sewer rate is flat charge – is that correct

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Slayter closed the public hearing.

Mayor Slayter addressed the public comment as follows:

Provisions for low income rate – City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Discussed that this item was addressed earlier
- Not recall legal issues for low income
- Frustrated in past not being able to achieve that

Mr. Fisher commented as follows:

- Discussed Prop 218
- No resident can pay more than their proportionate share
- Cannot incorporate into rate structure additional amount put aside for low income customers
- Come up for money from unrestricted revenues outside the rate revenues
- Challenge in many communities

Developments:

Director Svanstrom commented as follows:

- Discussed usage
- Discussed impact fees for their portion of infrastructure
- Doing study now for impact fee
- Have fees in place

Mayor Slayter explained hookup fees and impact fees and discussed paying fair share.

Sewer rates for flow:

Mr. Fisher commented as follows:

- No accounting for residents or occupants but rates are per 100 cu feet of flow
- More discharge higher bill
- Not flat rate
- Based on flow

Mayor Slayter explained the flow of water/sewer and how the City calculates wastewater flow and discussed averages of summer/winter usage as follows:

- Sewer usage charges for customers are based on indoor water use.
- Estimated by averaging water usage during winter months.
- These are normally wet months when it can be reasonably assumed that all or most water entering the property is exiting as wastewater.

Mr. Fisher stated that is correct.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed study and developments
- Did not know about these new developments

- Working on this for a number of months
- Risk of old system – want to take care of our system

Vice Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Talking of this in the budget subcommittee for a couple of years
- Looked at audits
- Going into negative situation
- Costing us more than bringing in what it is costing us to run our system
- Cannot charge more than what it is costing the City
- Can raise rates if prove how much it is costing us to run the system
- Can legally raise the rates
- Have aging system
- Important investing in infrastructure
- Careful about maintaining system

Council Deliberations:

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed aging system
- Discussed emergency situations for infrastructure
- Discussed potential for contamination from poorly maintained or aging sewer system

Director Kwong discussed the error in labeling as discussed on the slides.

Mayor Slayter moved and Vice Mayor Glass seconded the motion to approve the Water/Wastewater Rate Study/Prop 218 Notice Results and Adopt a Resolution Approving the 2019 Water Rate Study Final Report, Adopting Water and Sewer Utility Rates for Fiscal Year 2019-20 through 2022-23, and Making Findings that the Action is statutorily exempt pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21080(b)(8) and CEQA Guidelines Section 15273(a); and that no further protests were received at this meeting and the total number of protests received by the City of Sebastopol are as follows:

Results of the Proposed Water/Sewer Rate Survey
Conducted and Read into the record

	1st Mailing	2nd Mailing	Total
Returned Letters	18	149	167
Protests	8	8	16
Total	26	157	183
Less Duplicates		12	12
Total	26	145	171

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmember Carnacchi, Gurney, Hinton, Vice Mayor Glass and Mayor Slayter
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved the Water/Wastewater Rate Study/Prop 218 Notice Results and Adopt a Resolution Approving the 2019 Water Rate Study Final Report, Adopting Water and Sewer Utility Rates for Fiscal Year 2019-20 through 2022-23, and Making Findings that the Action is statutorily exempt pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21080(b)(8) and CEQA Guidelines Section 15273(a); and that no further protests were received at this meeting and the total number of protests received by the City of Sebastopol are as follows:

Results of the Proposed Water/Sewer Rate Survey
Conducted and Read into the record

	1st Mailing	2nd Mailing	Total
Returned Letters	18	149	167
Protests	8	8	16
Total	26	157	183
Less Duplicates		12	12
Total	26	145	171

Minute Order Number: 2020-007
Resolution Number: 6279-2020

Mayor Slayter called for a break at 8:09 pm and reconvened the meeting at 8:20 p.m.

7. Public Hearing for Design Review for a Sign Permit for 231 Petaluma Avenue, Suite 100 (Peets) (Responsible Department: Planning Department)

Director Svansson introduced Associate Planner Alan Montes.

Director Svansson and Planner Montes presented the agenda item recommending the City Council receive the agenda item, conduct a public hearing, and approve Design Review for a Sign Permit for 231 Petaluma Avenue, Suite 100 (Peets).

The applicant was in attendance.

Mayor Slayter asked for questions.

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed page 11 of 20
- North elevation has been eliminated – staff stated that is correct

- Signs proposed on the south and west elevations are intended to be centered on the parapet walls – centered at this time but could be part of this approval
- Questioned the labels – should be west but is labeled as east

Councilmember Carnacchi questioned what the kelvins for streetlights are for Sebastopol – the council replied 2700 kelvins – Staff indicated the applicant has proposed 3000 kelvins.

Councilmember gurney requested clarification of what is a parapet.

Mayor Slayter discussed the parapet wall as shown on the slides.

The applicant, Steven Hall, commented as follows:

- Architect
- 251st store
- Company owned store
- Following code
- Proposing letter set – elegant
- Back lit
- Two-inch metal of letters and one inch for backing
- Passed finals today – ready to open
- Great neighbor

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Detail provided for next item
- Want to understand construction of sign
- Page 9 of 12 for next item
- Mounting detail for sign
- Structure of wall – then reveal behind that – standing off from base of the wall – is that a similar mounting detail – the applicant stated that is similar
- Looking at shallow box with light inside of it and then back lights to wall and makes whole profile glow from behind rather than internally lit

Mayor Slayter opened the public hearing.

Hearing no comments, Mayor Slayter closed the public hearing.

Council Deliberations:

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Business and business identity that is created by their formula
- Situation where we are unable to analyze this due to agreement
- Discussed size and number of signs and willingness to voluntarily reduce the number of signs to be within conformance of the ordinance
- Indicated good will
- Looking at design of signs

- Integrates with building
- Appropriate
- No issues with it
- In scale to building
- Location of sign – feeling perhaps that would be best centered over the door or centered over the bank of windows
- Does not feel like centered on much of anything

The Council discussed the centering of the sign over the windows.

Mayor Slayter discussed the temperature of the bulbs. Likes the warmth of the lower kelvin light – modification to conditions of approval to require 2700 kelvins.

Councilmember Gurney stated she has heard complaints of 3000 kelvins as too harsh and blue and too much light and agree with the centering of the sign over the window.

Vice Mayor Glass concurred.

Mayor Slayter moved and Vice Mayor Glass seconded the motion to approve Design Review for a Sign Permit for 231 Petaluma Avenue, Suite 100 (Peets) as modified:

- 2700 kelvin lights
- Location as noted for south face sign

Discussion:

Councilmember Carnacchi suggested a Sebastopol brew in all locations.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmember Carnacchi, Gurney, Hinton, Vice Mayor Glass and Mayor Slayter

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Design Review for a Sign Permit for 231 Petaluma Avenue, Suite 100 (Peets) as modified:

- 2700 kelvin lights
- Location as noted for south face sign

Minute Order Number: 2020-008

Associate Planner Alan Montes presented the agenda item recommending the City Council receive the agenda item, conduct a public hearing, and approve Design Review for a Sign Permit for 231 Petaluma Avenue, Suite 110 (Supercuts). Planner Montes stated he would suggest 2700 kelvins and discussed a that there has been discussion about a potential future sign program.

Director Svansson stated they do not have the signage along the actual elevation and suggested a condition of approval for consistency.

Mayor Slayter asked for questions.

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Instructive to see photorealistic elevation with proposal should the Council decide to have staff review that
- Explain about requested number of signs and which ones have now been pulled from request

Planner Montes commented as follows:

- Blue bands for window signs - allowed without lettering only blue bands – text/copy is not allowed
- Looking at two wall signs tonight (two red signs)

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- North and south wall
- Sandwich suite
- Two red signs

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- North wall has black out film on all surfaces – Staff stated that on Peets portion they have side light in window and three panels in the store front – blacking out bathroom
- Discussed sub-committee looking at black out of restroom
- Looks like north wall on center suite was blacked out – Staff stated not approved by subcommittee or staff and will review that

Council and staff discussed the third suite and door on the south side.

Councilmember Gurney questioned if there is a difference perceive in two-inch-deep letter and three-inch-deep letter. Mayor Slayter stated not really.

Councilmember Hinton questioned if the Council could require them to match the Peets sign.

Director Svanstrom commented that the City Council can make that a condition of approval.

Mayor Slayter opened the public hearing.

zen commented as follows:

- People who are paying for signs should decide where they can put it
- Not want to see an all sign center
- Gave up two signs

ila Benavidez-Hester commented as follows:

- Sign is garish
- Sign is insulting
- Support in individuality

- Feels like competition
- Not need this here
- Offensive
- Needs attention
- Needs to address our needs
- Is this what we want
- Especially at an entry way
- What do we need for our town
- Not want that in our town
- Can give more expression or uniqueness
- Do a little bit Sebastopol

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Slayter closed the public hearing.

Council Deliberations:

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed lettering
- What is measurement of “P” in Peets – Staff stated the tallest is 28 inches and smallest is 19 inches
- This is 20 inches across - staff stated that is correct

Vice Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Not thrilled of this look
- Not thrilled of logo and color
- Saying it is a design is stretching it – can be encouraged that it is sandwiched in the middle – not on the street side
- It is their logo

Councilmember Hinton commented as follows:

- We have no choice
- 2700 kelvins
- 2 inches
- Same as Peets
- Living with the lawsuit
- In favor of approving it with conditions as discussed

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- No reason to require it to be smaller
- Within the square footage – staff stated that is correct
- Coming back with drawings that show the elevations – Mayor Slayter discussed empowering staff to look at elevation composite for the entire building in a photo realistic way to have sub-committee review with staff for final placement.
- 2700 Kelvins
- 2 inches

- Sub committee

Councilmember Gurney moved and Vice Mayor Glass seconded the motion to approve Design Review for a Sign Permit for 231 Petaluma Avenue, Suite 100 (Peets).

Councilmember Gurney suggested a Sebastopol hair cut
Councilmember Hinton suggested a locals pricing.

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed a sign program
- Hesitant
- Discussed settlement
- Lessons have been learned by this process

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmember Carnacchi, Gurney, Hinton, Vice Mayor Glass and Mayor Slayter
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Design Review for a Sign Permit for 231 Petaluma Avenue, Suite 100 (Peets).

Minute Order Number: 2020-009

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION) (Continued)

Planning Director Svanstrom presented the agenda item recommending the City Council Consider and Discuss Creation of Climate Action Sub-committee.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Make use of Zero waste task force
- Start in this year and people who volunteer to do that would help define the purpose and future of this committee
- Startup group
- Think tank for Council committee
- So much work possible
- Helpful to hear what tasks come first

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Description captures discussion
- Short sighted for only Council to work on this
- Need group of activists and people who are well educated in this to assist government

Mayor Slayter asked for questions.

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Page 2 of 13
- Discussed rights of nature background
- Description of that
- Discussed in General Plan
- Defined
- Action items
- Trying to tie that together
- Sub-committee may work on that

The sub-committee stated that may be an item to be worked on.

Councilmember Carnacchi discussed the rights of nature would have been a great argument for the Design Review Board in the Woodmark development and tree removal.

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment.

Zen commented as follows:

- Make effort to save trees over walk at Ragle
- Submitted position paper
- Contamination of public policy
- Discussed Carbon dioxide
- Object to kids skipping school
- Protest on their own time
- Discussed aerosol injection into the atmosphere to allow global warming
- Zero sum game
- Heat blocked out is blocked in
- Discussed Atlanta Freeman
- Discussed thermal imaging

A member of the audience commented as follows:

- Excited moving forward with tis
- Why ad hoc committee and not standing
- Climate emergency
- Made that declaration
- Discussed list of persons for creative thinkers from diverse
- Discussed nature rights
- Understanding interconnectivity of all
- Climate changes and affect through that lens
- Discussed rights of eco system

Steve Pierce commented as follows:

- Starting to get our feet under us and starting to move
- Appreciate money to publicize this effort
- Broad cross section of members of this subcommittee

- Sebastopol Climate Action
- Have items to front load
- Not have to ponder too much in the beginning

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed reason for ad hoc committee
- Temporary committee – in this instance discussed potential make up of committee and type of committee - discussed legal requirements
- Single overarching purpose
- Climate change not simple thing to solve
- Ad hoc committee will be both official cities acting committee in initial form
- Discussed a task force
- Wanted to leave a many option open for permanent method of operation as possible

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Freer and messier than a formal committee would have to be
- Conversation can start out wider now
- Can come back with specific recommendations
- Glad local people have front loading willing to do

Council Deliberations:

Councilmember Carnacchi commented that Rebecca Dwan is interested in being on the committee.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Will interview and appoint
- Great to have a Councilmember and Planning Commissioner to be on this subcommittee as many subject items cross over both bodies
- Will probably transform into a standing committee

Mayor Slayter moved and Vice Mayor Glass seconded the motion to approve Creation of Climate Action Sub-committee with a budget of a maximum of \$1000 for publicity.

Discussion:

Councilmember Gurney asked if the motion should include the duties and make up as listed in the staff report.

Staff recommended the motion be amended to include the specifics as listed in the report.

Mayor Slayter moved and Vice Mayor Glass seconded the motion to approve Creation of Climate Action Sub-committee with a budget of a maximum of \$1000 for publicity and that the following is included in the motion:

All members will be appointed by the City Council and must receive a majority of Council support for appointment. As this is a new sub-committee for the City, it is suggested that this Ad Hoc Sub-committee consist of between eleven (11) to thirteen (13 members) from the following backgrounds:

- One City Councilmember

- One Planning Commissioner
- One Member of City Staff (Planning Director or designee)
- Youth (ages 17-23)
- Sebastopol business owner
- Sebastopol Climate Action or other local climate group representative
- Environmental justice/equity background
- Rights of nature background
- Climate background
- Reside within Sebastopol city limits
- Reside outside Sebastopol city limits within the 95472-zip code
- Others?

Duties of Sub-Committee:

The functions of the climate action sub-committee shall include the following powers and duties:

- Formulate a Work Plan for the sub-committee
- Research composition and duties of other cities subcommittees
- Update CAP Document for City
- Prioritize Climate Emergency Resolution Attachment A actions (Attached)
- Create Climate Calendar
- Create Volunteer Appreciation Event/Ideas for Climate Events

In creating the climate action ad-hoc sub-committee, it is not the intent of the council to duplicate or overlap the functions, duties, or responsibilities assigned to any other board or commission. It is likely, however, that the work of the climate action sub-committee may involve areas of concern of other City boards and commissions. In these situations, City staff may be asked to bring those items to those relevant boards and commissions with a report back to the ad-hoc subcommittee.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmember Carnacchi, Gurney, Hinton, Vice Mayor Glass and Mayor Slayter
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Creation of Climate Action Sub-committee with a budget of a maximum of \$1000 for publicity and that the following is included in the motion:

All members will be appointed by the City Council and must receive a majority of Council support for appointment. As this is a new sub-committee for the City, it is suggested that this Ad Hoc Sub-committee consist of between eleven (11) to thirteen (13 members) from the following backgrounds:

- One City Councilmember
- One Planning Commissioner
- One Member of City Staff (Planning Director or designee)
- Youth (ages 17-23)
- Sebastopol business owner
- Sebastopol Climate Action or other local climate group representative
- Environmental justice/equity background
- Rights of nature background
- Climate background

- Reside within Sebastopol city limits
- Reside outside Sebastopol city limits within the 95472-zip code
- Others?

Duties of Sub-Committee:

The functions of the climate action sub-committee shall include the following powers and duties:

- Formulate a Work Plan for the sub-committee
- Research composition and duties of other cities subcommittees
- Update CAP Document for City
- Prioritize Climate Emergency Resolution Attachment A actions (Attached)
- Create Climate Calendar
- Create Volunteer Appreciation Event/Ideas for Climate Events

In creating the climate action ad-hoc sub-committee, it is not the intent of the council to duplicate or overlap the functions, duties, or responsibilities assigned to any other board or commission. It is likely, however, that the work of the climate action sub-committee may involve areas of concern of other City boards and commissions. In these situations, City staff may be asked to bring those items to those relevant boards and commissions with a report back to the ad-hoc subcommittee.

Minute Order Number: 2020-010

10. Discussion and Receipt of Update/Status of General Plan Implementation Report
(Responsible Department: Planning Director) – *Item was continued from the December 17th City Council Meeting*

Planning Director Svanstrom presented the agenda item recommending the City Council Discuss and Receive Update/Status of the General Plan Implementation Report.

Mayor Slayter asked for questions. There were none at this time.

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment. There was none.

Council Deliberations:

Councilmember Carnacchi questioned what happens if the goals and priorities changes.

Mayor Slayter discussed the goals and priority process and stated this type of report informs what is on the goals and priorities list.

Director Svanstrom commented as follows:

- Council Goals can change
- If the high-level goals change, they would be amended or modified so the update refers to current city council goals

Councilmember Gurney questioned how often it would need to be updated.

Councilmember Carnacchi questioned if we need to have that section.

Director Svanstrom stated she would be happy to continue with that as it is informative.

The Council discussed the following:

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Page 11
- Circulation 1B
- Established good working relationship with Caltrans
- Want to consider especially given last evacuation and flood
- Like to see this item in process
- Important

Director Svanstrom commented as follows:

- Engineering Manger sits on SCTA's technical advisory committee
- SCTA is going through their comprehensive transportation plan update
- Llano Road extension appears to be back on the list by the County
- County will be updating the General Plan in the coming years as well

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed region director for Caltrans
- Has spoken with her about the alternate route and is in their TCR report
- Betsy stated the first step would be to get the County representative to the table with them , then Caltrans would totally support it

Director Svanstrom discussed the comprehensive transportation plan (CTP). Councilmember Gurney discussed the ad hoc committee at SCTA for the CTP and she is a member of this ad hoc committee, then SCTA will present it to the full board. She stated she concurs this is an important component and suggested some actions to Councilmember Carnacchi such as:

- Signage that Caltrans would agree to along roadways so public can understand alternate routes to City of Santa Rosa and Sebastopol
- Then people would know If coming to Sebastopol will be in downtown traffic
- Great help to talk to Caltrans about signage to move traffic on existing roads that we have
- Nice if some of their roads share some of our traffic

Councilmember Carnacchi stated that is what he will be focusing on this year (Caltrans).

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

Page 36:

COS 1B

Check as in progress

Mayor Slayter suggested waiting until the City has the ad hoc sub-committee – pre-dispose what may come.

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Noise
- Air Traffic

Director Svanstrom stated she does not know if there is an actual policy in the General Plan but reducing this type of noise would be consistent with the General Plan.

Councilmember Carnacchi discussed policy N1-12 Noise, which directs us to work with the airport to minimize noise impacts from air traffic

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Become more proactive
- Voice our objection to the noise of the flight pattern to the airport land use commission

Mayor Slayter suggested bringing an item forward for a letter to be signed by the Mayor to register our concerns.

Councilmember Gurney suggested agenda review discuss that item.

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- What kind of housing we need in town
- Different income levels we want to address and promote more for that type of housing

Director Svanstrom commented as follows:

- In the Housing Element
- Needs assessment of demographics of town and housing stock, and what is needed

The City Council received the report. No action was taken.

City Council Action: No Action Taken. City Council received the report.

Minute Order Number: 2020-011

11. Consideration of Request for Joint City Council and Planning Commission Meeting (Requestor: Councilmember Carnacchi) – *Item was continued from the December 17th City Council Meeting*

Councilmember Carnacchi presented the agenda item recommending the City Council Discuss and Consider the Request for Joint City Council and Planning Commission Meeting.

Councilmember Carnacchi and Director Svanstrom discussed items to be discussed.

Councilmember Carnacchi stated it would be a great opportunity for the public to speak to both bodies at one time.

Mayor Slayter asked for questions. There were none.

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment. There was none.

Council Deliberations:

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Unless reason not sure need to have a joint meeting.
- Can propose if there is a specific topic
- Not sure it makes sense to schedule a meeting if we do not have a topic

Vice Mayor Glass commented as follows:

- Discussed origins of this idea, may have come from disconnects over Planning Commission decisions (felt in a few that they were not getting where the Council was coming from)
- Example: Anytime Fitness (point of formula business ordinance) or non-hosted Air BnB (housing priorities)
- Raise ideas about philosophical ideas
- What are the City Council goals – create a unified downtown; provide housing that is affordable by design and maintain existing housing stock

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Concerned it would feel like scolding, not what he wants
- He appreciates different opinions
- Reason why have wide range opinion set

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Read two Planning Commission decisions differently
- Planning Commission felt they did not have the authority to reject the vacation rental
- A brave Planning Commissioner did and appealed the application
- Similarly, for Anytime Fitness, saw the Commission's desire to populate and bring people to downtown
- Originally not in favor of meeting but warming up to the idea
- Need topic, Need time limit
- Perhaps have Mayor and Chair of PC and City staff meet to try and propose an agenda for each body to see as meaningful to members and others or two volunteers (one from each group)
- Not want to be messy free for all

Councilmember Hinton commented as follows:

- Not too interested in this idea
- Had a great time at the holiday event
- More casual meeting was great
- Pushed back on idea of Planning Commission connected to Councilmembers
- Goes against tone of the full Council
- Less formal is better
- Not interested in training opportunity
- Discussed the two applications (Anytime Fitness and Air BnB)

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed his conversations with Caltrans
- Pull from their documents -Put it in the pipeline
- Look at next six years out what we would like to see
- Not decide what the subject matter is at this time
- Pick a date of a regular Planning Commission meeting night, could cancel it

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed no specific topic
- Planning Commission already has an approved work plan that both they and the Council have approved
- Hesitant to schedule a meeting not knowing what is going to be discussed
- Does not seem prudent

Councilmember Carnacchi suggested talking about the next Caltrans project.

Mayor Slayter stated the Planning Commission does not deal with Caltrans projects.

Councilmember Gurney questioned if the Planning Commission has discussed this joint meeting.

Director Svanstrom stated it is in the General Plan and work plan but not a priority.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Suggested the Mayor and Planning Commission Chair discuss this item
- See if there is interest

Mayor Slayter stated he would discuss this with staff and the Chair of the Planning Commission.

The City Council was in consensus to have the Mayor work with the Planning Commission Chair and City Staff.

City Council Action: City Council was in consensus to have the Mayor work with the Planning Commission Chair and City Staff.

Minute Order Number: 2020-012

12. Consideration and Discussion of 2020 Appointment of Members to Staff Committee Appointments/Assignments and City Council Committee Appointment/Assignments (Responsible Department: City Administration) – *Item was continued from the December 17th City Council Meeting*

Mayor Slayter presented the agenda item recommending the City Council Discuss and Consider the 2020 Appointment of Members to Staff Committee Appointments/Assignments and City Council Committee Appointment/Assignments.

Mayor Slayter asked for questions.

Councilmember Hinton commented she would like to be considered for the SCTA/RCPA Alternate. Councilmember Carnacchi stated he would like to remain on this as the alternate. Councilmember Hinton stated she would like to be considered for this next year.

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment. There was none.

Council Deliberations:

The City Council discussed the committee changes and request for periodic updates from various committee meetings (such as fire emergency procedures/future staffing).

Mayor Slayter moved and Vice Mayor Glass seconded the motion to approve the 2020 Appointment of Members to Staff Committee Appointments/Assignments and City Council Committee Appointment/Assignments.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmember Carnacchi, Gurney, Hinton, Vice Mayor Glass and Mayor Slayter
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved the 2020 Appointment of Members to Staff Committee Appointments/Assignments and City Council Committee Appointment/Assignments.

Minute Order Number: 2020-013

13. Consideration of Designation of Voting Designated Representative(s) for the Board of Directors of the Sonoma County Mayors' and Councilmembers' Association and City Selection Committee Meetings of 2020 to Vote in the Mayor's Absence (Responsible Department: City Administration)

Mayor Slayter presented the agenda item recommending the City Council Discuss and Consider the Designation of Voting Designated Representative(s) for the Board of Directors of the Sonoma County Mayors' and Councilmembers' Association and City Selection Committee Meetings of 2020 to Vote in the Mayor's Absence.

Mayor Slayter asked for questions. There were none.

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment. There were none.

Council Deliberations: There were none.

Vice Mayor Glass moved, and Councilmember Hinton seconded the motion to approve the Designation of Voting Designated Representative(s) for the Board of Directors of the Sonoma County Mayors' and Councilmembers' Association and City Selection Committee Meetings of 2020 to Vote in the Mayor's Absence.

- Vice Mayor Glass
- Councilmember Hinton

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmember Carnacchi, Gurney, Hinton, Vice Mayor Glass and Mayor Slayter
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved the Designation of Voting Designated Representative(s) for the Board of Directors of the Sonoma County Mayors' and Councilmembers' Association and City Selection Committee Meetings of 2020 to Vote in the Mayor's Absence.

- Vice Mayor Glass
- Councilmember Hinton

Minute Order Number: 2020-014

ADJOURNMENT OF THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING TO THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY

CONVENE MEETING OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY FOR THE FORMER SEBASTOPOL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY (The City Council Acts as the Successor Agency)

Agenda Items shown under this heading are items that the City Council of the City of Sebastopol will be considering solely in the capacity as the Successor Agency for the former Sebastopol Community Development Agency.

CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Slayter called the regular meeting to order at 10:29 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Present: Mayor Slayter
Vice Mayor Glass
Councilmember Carnacchi
Councilmember Gurney
Councilmember Hinton

Absent: None

Staff: City Manager/City Attorney Larry McLaughlin
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley
Administrative Services Director Ana Kwong

Mayor Slayter read the consent calendar items.

Mayor Slayter questioned if any Councilmember(s) wanted to remove any item(s) from the consent calendar.

Mayor Slayter asked for public comment on the consent calendar items.

Councilmember Gurney moved and Vice Mayor Glass seconded the motion to approve Consent Calendar Item(s) Number(s) 14 and 15.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmember Carnacchi, Gurney, Hinton, Vice Mayor Glass and Mayor Slayter
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS:

14. Approval of the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 20-21) for the period July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021 (Finance Director)

City Council Action: Approved the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 20-21) for the period July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021

Minute Order Number: 2020-015

Resolution Number: Resolution Number Successor Agency 6280-2020

15. Approval and Adoption of the FY 2020-2021 Successor Agency Administrative Budget (Finance Director)

City Council Action: Approved Adoption of the FY 2020-2021 Successor Agency Administrative Budget

Minute Order Number: 2020-016

Resolution Number: Resolution Number Successor Agency 6281-2020

ADJOURNMENT: Mayor Slayter adjourned the Successor Agency meeting at 10:30 pm to the regular City Council Meeting.

RECONVENE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING: Mayor Slayter reconvened the regular City Council Meeting at called the regular meeting to order at 10:31 p.m.

CITY COUNCIL REPORTS:

16. City Manager-Attorney/City Clerk Reports:

The following was reported:

- Closed Session meeting scheduled for Jan 21, 2020 at 5:00 pm
- January 9th Mayors and Councilmembers Social – 5:30 pm – Hopmonk – approximately 40 Attendees
- Special City Council Meeting of January 15, 2020 for Interviews/Appointments for Design Review Board, Public Arts Committee and Planning Commission Openings
- 8:30 am- Agenda Review Committee Meeting (January 8th, 2020)

17. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards)

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed Zero Waste Sub-Committee (would like to be called a Task Force)
- Ambassador going to a business
- Students are putting together a proposal of reusable cups – could involve a request for funding to City Council
- Investing how to get back in town CRV take back centers

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed the mid-block crossing
- Caltrans is requesting a resolution
- Asked Agenda Review to bring this to the next City Council meeting if possible
- Want to be involved and proactive

- Make sure this happens

Councilmember Gurney stated this item may be more complicated and would like to see diagrams when the item returns.

Mayor Slayter stated the SCP meeting would be Thursday.

18. Council Communications Received: There were none.
19. Future City Meeting Dates/Events (Informational Only): (See Agenda and City Web site for Up to Date Meeting Dates/Times)

ADJOURNMENT OF REGULAR MEETING: Mayor Slayter adjourned the meeting at 10:35 pm.

Meeting was adjourned to the next Special City Council Meeting which will be held on Wednesday, January 15, 2020 at 2:00 pm at the Sebastopol City Hall, City Hall Conference Room, 7120 Bodega Avenue, Sebastopol, CA.

The January 15th, 2020 Special City Council Meeting will be adjourned to the next Special City Council Meeting (Closed Session) which will be held on Tuesday, January 21, 2020 at 5:00 pm at the Sebastopol City Hall, City Hall Conference Room, 7120 Bodega Avenue, Sebastopol, CA and then adjourned to the next Regular City Council Meeting which will be held on Tuesday, January 21, 2020, at 6:00 pm at the Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA.

Respectfully Submitted:

Mary C. Gourley, Assistant City Manager/City Clerk, MMC