

City of Sebastopol City Council Regular Meeting
Minutes of
Meeting of September 18, 2018

The public is advised that pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.5 all writings submitted to the City Council are public records and will be made available for review.

Please note that minutes are not verbatim minutes and are meant to be the City's record of a summary of actions that took place at the meeting.

Notice: All resolutions and ordinances introduced and/or adopted under this agenda are waived of all reading of entire resolution(s) and ordinance(s).

The Sebastopol City Council welcomes you to its meetings that are generally scheduled for the 1st and 3rd Tuesday of every month. Your interest and participation are encouraged and appreciated.

SIMULTANEOUS MEETING COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE (Government Code § 54952.3): Members of the City Council receive no additional compensation as a result of convening a joint meeting of the City Council and Successor Agency to the Former Community Development Agency

SB 751 Legislative bodies of local agencies must publicly report: (1) any action taken and (2) the vote or abstention on each action taken by each member present for the action at a meeting.

A notice of the meeting was posted by the City Clerk on September 11, 2018.

5:00 pm - 6:30 pm

CONVENE SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING, Sebastopol Youth
Annex/Teen Center, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA

CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Slayter called the special meeting (study session) to order at 5:02 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Present:

Mayor Slayter
Vice Mayor Hinton
Councilmember Carnacchi
Councilmember Gurney
Councilmember Glass

Staff:

City Manager/City Attorney Larry McLaughlin
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley
Engineering Manager Henry Mikus
Planning Director Kari Svanstrom

STUDY SESSION:

1. Study Session and Presentation of Summary of work in Sonoma County by Zero Waste Representatives (Councilmember Gurney)

The following was presented:

- I. Welcome, introduction, outline of presentation (2 min)- Sunny Galbraith, 350 Sonoma

- II. **Short presentations on Zero Waste**
 - A. Intro to Zero Waste – Portia Sinnott, Zero Waste Action- Sonoma County
 - B. Explanation of who and what is the Zero Waste Task Force (8 minutes) – Leslie Lukacs, SCS Engineers
 - Zero Waste Resolution Request- brief overview
 - C. Zero Waste = Good Jobs – Marty Bennett, North Bay Jobs with Justice; Tom Woods, Teamsters Local 665
 - D. Organics Management: resource recovery, renewable energy, healthy soils and carbon farming.
– Will Bakx, Renewable Sonoma

- III. **Our Asks: actions we would like the Council to take in the short term**
 - A. Support and approve the Zero Waste Resolution request (already presented by Leslie Lukacs in part II)
 - B. Support and approve the Sonoma County Waste Management Agency’s model ordinance: “Ordinance to Prohibit Use and Sale of Disposable Food Service Ware and Other Products Containing Polystyrene”
–Patrick Carter, Executive Director, Sonoma County Waste Management Agency (examples of other cities with such ordinances: City of Alameda, City of Berkeley, Santa Cruz)
 - C. Event Permitting: make recycling and organics collection mandatory at special events that pull a permit from the city –Mary Munat, president, Green Mary Event Waste Diversion and Greening.
 - D. Lead by example: green the city offices and city council events – Sunny Galbraith
 - i. Ban city from purchasing single-use water bottles
 - ii. Eliminate single-use service ware and switch to use reusable service ware (cups, mugs, plates, utensils) on city property/ in city offices

- IV. **Survey of other actions the Council can take to promote Zero Waste**
 - A. Collaboration with Businesses
 - i. Mandatory recycling and composting at businesses and residents – Anita, Recology Zero Waste Specialist for Sebastopol area- examples of restaurants in Sebastopol that are already diverting food waste to compost, and other cities with mandatory compost and recycling
 - 1. Business owner Maraline Olsen, Screamin Mimi’s ice cream on their successful use of compostable food containers
 - 2. Business Owner - Natalie – Handline and Lowell’s on their successful use of compostable food containers
 - 3. Tasha Beauchamp- Cittaslow Sebastopol - Zero Waste month with businesses through Sebastopol Chamber of Commerce
 - ii. Incentivize restaurants, caterers, grocery stores, and other venues and businesses to donate excess edible food-to-food distribution programs consistent with state laws. June Michaels, Sonoma Food Runners

- V. **Closing of presentation portion: the power of Zero Waste as a community-building endeavor**
 - A. Students from Analy High School, Orchard View School, Apple Blossom Elementary, and Santa Rosa Junior college share their experiences working to promote Zero Waste on their campuses

- B. Sebastopol Union School District Representative Sustainability Coordinator – discussed the Repair Cafe

VI. Questions and Answers

The presenters answered various questions from the Council and comment cards.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Thanked the presenters
- Special thank you to Sunny
- Provide public garbage cans - no one there to help – how to close that gap
- Discussed Peace Town – instructor near by

Leslie – discussed public space and composting and recycling – make it convenient

- City investing in three bin system
- Pictures for messaging

Portia – reinforce – hear something ten times before integrated into brain

- Keep in public purview
- Reinforced at all times
- Keep ball in the air
- Talk about it a great deal

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Ban – prohibited retail sales- discussed Safeway and Lucky
- How does small municipality like Sebastopol fight big corporations if they are going to sell it anyways
- Screamin Mimi – eating at other establishment - attractive to use steel spoons to eat with – makes business more attractive
- How measure the diversion rate
- Is there proof to diversion
- 10 cent tax on single cup use and lids

Patrick – happening in other cities

- City has authority to do this
- Has been done elsewhere
- SCWMA can get involved
- Discussed measuring of diversion
- Back in the day was percentage rate
- Method changed in recent years – how much landfilling
- Discuss statistics with garbage company
- Discussed the 10-cent fee – If City wants to add on to the ordinance – is at City discretion
- County proposing five measures

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Asked for commitment tonight
- 100 percent post

Mayor Slayter – that can be added as topics to be discussed.

Councilmember Glass commented that there is no other city in the County that is already committed to zero waste.

Sunny - Have to act fast
City of Sonoma in the act of doing event permitting

Mayor Slayter questioned if there are other cities in the State.

Leslie stated there are many cities in the State already that are Zero Waste

Mayor Slayter discussed taking actions to ensure success.

- Discussed the summary (growlers and kegs)
- There is no outlet in City that refills them as matter of policy

Portia – individual business policy
Can be done – can be refilled – has to have right name on it

A member of the audience commented that a brewery can refill anyone's growler, has to put on approved ABC label.

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed HopMonk
- Suggested mention to them that this could be a way to assist community in moving towards zero waste
- Discussed the construction industry making changes

Portia – stated most cities that have Zero Waste have standards for the building community

- Have to work with the Builders Exchange in Sonoma County
- Needs to be resuscitated

Mayor Slayter stated it is mandated to have a waste management plan.

Leslie- CalGreen has a 65% diversion requirement

- Discussed the City of Santa Rosa zero waste plan
- Discussed construction/demolition ordinance

Sunny discussed questions on the comment cards.

- Three questions pertaining to Recology
- Where is Recology composting plant – how far does it travel

Collected in Sonoma County – goes to Novato, Ukiah, or Richmond

What is the current status of recycling plastic film and plastic bags

- Material gets caught in machinery at facility – problematic – can also contaminate the paper bales
- Not able to accept it in recycling program
- Grocery stores can accept the plastic bags

When we will be getting compost kitchen pails from Recology

- Would love to get them to everyone
- Expensive to do that
- City of Santa Rosa got the pails and new carts and new trucks
- Something to negotiate with City
- Can reach out to Recology – will provide

Will electricity at the compost facility come from solar

- Exploring that option

Met with Board of Supervisors – Looking to implement Zero Waste policy at the County level

- Discussed the permitting process
- Discussed usable wears
- Discussed health codes – nudging permitting process
- Discussed Peace Town use of cups
- Discussed use of reusable containers at hot bars in the County
- Can bring it for left overs

How do we manage to handle vendors who refuse

- Keep going until someone will do it
- Health code will catch up
- Making great headway towards permitting of reusable wares

Why hasn't the city of Sebastopol banned poly-styrofoam of the City

Mayor Slayter discussed the next steps.

- Discussed Agenda Review Committee meeting to discuss next steps
- Think there is a lot of energy behind this right now
- Once in place becomes automatic
- Easy habit to get to Zero Waste especially for an enlightened community like Sebastopol

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment.

Colleen Fernald commented as follows:

- Discussed 2002 Sustainability Sebastopol Conference and Festival
- Compost here in Sebastopol
- Problem with getting composting at multi-family units
- Needs advocacy on the State level
- Try to create pilot program
- Concern of human waste being used for fertilizer – how is that being addressed

- Is there a diversion for human waste
- Need to discuss manure, dog waste, cat waste – need education
- Large crowd – consider different facilities

City Council Action: Conducted the study session and received the presentation.

Reference Order Number: 2018-192

ADJOURNMENT: Mayor Slayter adjourned the special meeting (study session) at 6:37 p.m. to the regular meeting.

6:30 pm CONVENE CITY COUNCIL MEETING, Special Meeting Time, Sebastopol Youth Annex/Teen Center, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA

CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Slayter called the meeting to order at 6:50 p.m.

ROLL CALL:

Present: Mayor Slayter
Vice Mayor Hinton
Councilmember Carnacchi
Councilmember Gurney
Councilmember Glass

Staff: City Manager/City Attorney Larry McLaughlin
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley
Engineering Manager Henry Mikus
Finance Director Ana Kwong
Police Chief James Conner
Public Works Superintendent Dante Del Prete

SALUTE TO THE FLAG: Mayor Slayter led the salute to the flag.

PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS:

- Supervisor Lynda Hopkins and Mayor Slayter presented the following: Presentation of Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Reimbursements to the City of Sebastopol and Representatives from Local Non-Profits for Fire Related Expenses During the October Fires

Colleen Fernald commented as follows:

- Comments not to take away from the organization
- Discussed the solvency of FEMA and the check
- Discussed FEMA and the County talking of the disaster
- Discussed reimbursement from FEMA
- How is FEMA going to stay solvent
- What is the City of Sebastopol going to do to make sure FEMA stays solvent
- Discussed stopping Unconstitutional Wars
- Got thanked for being brave enough to tell the truth
- FEMA cannot take care of all debts
- Discussed oath of office
- Have security no matter what comes next

PUBLIC COMMENT (At this time, members of the public may comment on any item not appearing on the agenda. It is recommended that you keep your comments to three minutes or less. Under State Law, unless otherwise permitted under the Ralph M. Brown Act, the merits of the matters presented under this item cannot be discussed

or acted upon by the City Council at this time. For items appearing on the agenda, the public will be invited to make comments at the time the item comes up for Council consideration.)

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment.

Linda Berg commented as follows:

- Discussed cell phones
- All innocently contributing to the destruction of the earth
- Provided a handout to the City Council
- Discussed SMART – special military adaptive receiver transmitters
- Discussed child slave labor
- Discussed consumers and sustainability – discussing sustaining cancer from this industry
- Discussed not being safe in her own home
- Discussed cancer cluster at Burbank Housing
- Discussed protection from microwave radiation
- Discussed 5G and taking away from local authority

Colleen Fernald commented as follows:

- This time is precious to use as needed for general comments
- Discussed September 21st as International Day of Peace
- What has the City done to commemorate the International Day of Peace
- Does not honor own United Nations resolution
- Discussed City track record – resolution 5455; 5280; and proclamations

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- City did recognize the International Day of Peace
- Asked Living Peace Wall representative Michael Ghilotti to have it closer to this date
- Unfortunate timing had to take place last Saturday rather than closer to the actual date
- City did support it

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Read a prepared statement

PUBLIC COMMENT:

- o Speakers are allowed to speak for a maximum of three minutes so that all speakers have an opportunity to address the City Council. Power point or visual presentations for public comment shall not be permitted unless approved by the Agenda Review Committee two weeks prior to the requested meeting date.
- o Yielding Time: Speakers may not "yield" a portion of their allotted time to others.
- o The Mayor has the authority to limit or extend the time allowed for speakers dependent on the number of speakers in attendance.
- o The Mayor can poll the members of the public for an indication of the number of people wishing to speak, then call on individuals to speak.
- o It is the goal of the Council to conclude the public comments portion of the agenda within 20 minutes. If the public comment period exceeds twenty minutes, the presiding officer, typically the Mayor, reserves the right to reduce the time per speaker or carry over public comments to after all business items are completed.
- o The City Clerk will monitor the time for public comments and inform the speakers when the time limitation has been reached.
- o The Mayor could survey the members of the public, as appropriate, to move agenda items up or back to address the members of the public items of concern.
- o Public participation is encouraged on all public agenda items.
- o Council and staff will treat participants and each other with courtesy. Derogatory or sarcastic comments are inappropriate.
- o The public will likewise be encouraged by the Mayor to maintain meeting decorum.
- o In Council meetings when citizens are agitated, the Mayor may call a short recess to calm the situation.
- o If a member of the public is unable to attend the Council meeting, written communications may be sent to the City Clerk by e-mail or by regular mail. Communications received after distribution of the agenda packet will be made available to the Council at the meeting.

STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST BY MAYOR/CITY COUNCILMEMBERS FOR ITEMS ON THE AGENDA (This is the time for the Mayor or City Councilmembers to indicate any statements of conflicts of interests for any item listed on this agenda). There were none.

Engineering Manager Mikus provided an update on the following:

- HAWK Installation
- Restart work September 24th
- Poles/lights- September 27th
- Power system “ON” – October 1st
- Completion – end of first week of October

Striping Plan for State Routes:

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Caltrans project – repaving
- Will do bike lane striping for City
- Done by major contractor and sub-contractor
- Discussed paving and temporary markers
- Discussed paving
- Discussed contractor doing green bike lane portion – plastic overlay – specialized
- Completed before other Caltrans contractor does striping work
- Recognize a lot of confusion
- Not possible to do all at one time
- Done bulk of work during night (Caltrans)
- Required to be done in sequencing
- City staff in daily contact with Caltrans
- Pushing Caltrans to complete
- Discussed Council review and presentation to Caltrans (as far back as 2011)
- Discussed review of plans and reasons for Petaluma Avenue design
- Preserve bus routes
- Preserve parking
- Work fully vetted for seven to eight years
- On city web site
- City paid to have professionals to do graphic work – better explain to public

Engineering Manager Mikus commented as follows:

- Discussed explaining the green painted lines – safety enhancement for bicycle lanes – solid green and striped green lines
- Solid = bike lanes
- Striped = transitions in lane structure or where traffic and bikes will cross
- Discussed comments received such as dooring – discussed similar situation on Morris Street – did not change lay out but did enhance safety as added striping provides warning to drivers

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Stated it is confusing
- New is little tiny dashes of white paint
- Advice is to have situational awareness

- Know where you are driving

CONSENT CALENDAR:

- The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar.
- The Mayor will read the consent calendar items; ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to three minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an agenda item or items be removed for discussion.
- If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tem.
- Council Members may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tem.

Councilmember Gurney moved and Councilmember Glass seconded the motion to approve the consent calendar item numbers 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carnacchi, Glass, Gurney, Vice Mayor Hinton and Mayor Slayter

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

2. Approval of Minutes of September 4, 2018 City Council Meeting Minutes (City Administration)
City Council Action: Approved Minutes of September 4, 2018 City Council Meeting Minutes
Minute Order Number: 2018-193
3. Approval of Amendment to Lease Agreement between the City of Sebastopol and the Sebastopol Area Chamber of Commerce for Lease of One (1) Parking Space in the Municipal City Parking Lot Adjacent to the Chamber of Commerce (City Administration)
City Council Action: Approved Amendment to Lease Agreement between the City of Sebastopol and the Sebastopol Area Chamber of Commerce for Lease of One (1) Parking Space in the Municipal City Parking Lot Adjacent to the Chamber of Commerce
Minute Order Number: 2018-194
4. Approval of a new job description for an unbenefited Temporary (Extra-Help) Maintenance Assistant; and amendment to add this classification to the Approved Pay Rates and Ranges Schedule effective October 1, 2018 (Public Works Superintendent/Finance Director)
City Council Action: Approved new job description for an unbenefited Temporary (Extra-Help) Maintenance Assistant; and amendment to add this classification to the Approved Pay Rates and Ranges Schedule effective October 1, 2018
Minute Order Number: 2018-195
5. Approval of Resolution Updating the City of Sebastopol Current Pay Rates and Ranges for Temporary (Extra Help) Maintenance Assistant Classification (Finance Director)
City Council Action: Approved Resolution Updating the City of Sebastopol Current Pay Rates and Ranges for Temporary (Extra Help) Maintenance Assistant Classification
Minute Order Number: 2018-196
Resolution Number: 6210
6. Approval of Notice of Completion, for Contract number 2017-05, Sewer and Water Pipeline Replacement and Repairs (Engineering Manager)
City Council Action: Approved Notice of Completion, for Contract number 2017-05, Sewer and Water Pipeline Replacement and Repairs

Minute Order Number: 2018-197

PRESENTATIONS/INFORMATIONAL ITEMS OF INTEREST: None

PUBLIC HEARING(s): None

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION):

7. Discussion and Consideration of Potential Actions for Morris Street Camping Issues to Include Recommendation for Revision of Existing Ordinances (Police Chief)

Police Chief Conner presented the report to the City Council, provided history of the item, and recommended that the City Council discuss the recommendations as outlined by staff:

1. Consideration to Establish a "Parking Prohibited Midnight to 6:00 am." zone on both sides of Morris Street, between Sebastopol Avenue and Johnson Street; both sides of Johnson Street, between Morris Street and Sunset Avenue; and both sides of Laguna Park Way, between Morris Street and McKinley Street.
2. Enact a Municipal Code section prohibiting parking or storage of oversized vehicles on the public right-of-way in residential areas.
3. Rescind SMC section 10.40.090 TRAILERS, as it is unenforceable in current form.

Mayor Slayter opened for questions.

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Name of Street of Brookhaven (Valentine) – construction workers who have unlimited race cars - park on street
- Are those illegal

Chief Conner commented as follows:

- Stated it would depend and discussed the definition of oversized vehicles and stated that those cars on a flatbed trailer would probably in violation
- City street is not a parking lot

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Restrictions for other municipalities
- Un-hitched trailers definition

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Motor boat on trailer unhitched
- Fifth Wheel trailer without truck
- Utility trailer
- Box trailer
- Winnebago/RV

Chief Conner commented it would most likely fall under oversized vehicle definition depending on the municipality's definition. He also discussed complaints oversized vehicles for site distance, crowding, neighborhood aesthetic, etc.

Mayor Slayter discussed sizes/definitions from other jurisdictions.

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed a permit process
- Allow to happen by permit to allow oversized vehicles
- Discussed the City Manager's street
- Residents notified of permit

Chief Conner commented as follows:

- Permit would be issued by police department
- No public hearing
- Similar to alcohol in park permit
- Not recommending that
- No ability to track that
- Discussed passes for parking
- Discussed obligation to enforce with permits
- Problem for the city to do – no staff

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Discussed neighborhoods
- Most neighborhoods not designed for oversized vehicles for each house – would not fit
- Not know fairness of first come first serve for permit

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment.

Gregory Fearon commented as follows:

- Lives in Santa Rosa
- Alerted to issue
- Deeply involved with issue of people living on the streets
- Location in Santa Rosa has 31 RVs
- Define what city is and how it works
- People are change – figure out how to accommodate that
- Discussed people living on the streets
- A lot of people living in RVs full time
- Trying to find a place for them
- Failure of society to build housing
- Suggest Sebastopol entitled to \$12.1 million from County in next couple of months
- Discussed spending the money on homeless
- Encourage the City to go to newly formed CofC on October 18th
- Ask for money
- 9 people decide how those funds will be spent to address housing of homeless

Yvette Morris commented as follows:

- Owns camper
- Lives in Sebastopol
- Been homeless
- Speak on their behalf

- Pitiful for people to want to make a law to where it is illegal for someone who is homeless to survive other than in the bushes
- Own a motorhome on Morris Street
- Not allowed to park
- Legally registered ensured vehicle
- Where do you expect the homeless people to go
- When homeless - Have no money – Destitute – need place to live
- Thanked WCCS
- A lot of people are homeless and are scared to come here
- Need to help not hurt the homeless
- Grateful someone helped her
- Trying to help the homeless
- Not going to go away
- Not going to get rid of them by doing this
- Creating more problems
- Need somewhere to live

Steve Dillan commented as follows:

- Lives on Taft Street
- Watching RVs coming into neighborhoods
- If make Morris Street illegal going to start distributing in the neighborhoods
- Request that concept be addressed prior to putting concrete poles in the ground
- Difficult problem
- Compassion
- Like to know who is living in his neighborhood

Colleen Fernald commented as follows:

- Quasi homeless
- Discussed just cause eviction
- Discussed seasonal camping at Park Village
- Discussed additional ways to help people survive losing their home
- Forced out of her home
- Tried to rescue herself from losing everything
- Negotiated for the RV she is in now
- Accommodate seasonal camping at Park Village
- Hard to move forward from being homeless
- Discussed social and environmental help needed
- Discussed County having park land for RVs
- Discussed tiny homes or RVs
- Safe place to be
- Does not have that
- City not listening
- Problem escalating

A member of the audience commented as follows:

- Own business on Morris Street

- Intimate with homeless on Morris Street
- In middle of situation
- Heartbreaking
- Employed homeless
- In center of epicenter
- Now elevated
- No answers
- Community – how help them – what can we do
- See drug deals
- See people using the area as a restroom
- Saw a machete
- Police been fantastic
- Not asked anyone to leave – not been disrespectful – been phenomenal
- Have been trying to find solution
- Discussed porta potties
- Bike path dangerous for RVs, parking and bikes to go through
- Find solution as community
- Have compassion - not clean up, wipe out or move
- Offered jobs to the homeless

Gale Brownell commented as follows:

- Involvement with homeless and affordable housing
- Clear succinct staff report
- Experienced public safety and other staff compassionate and do best they can
- Ask to join hands and sing kumbaya
- Incredible housing problem
- No place for them to go
- Things can happen
- Sympathetic to residents and businesses
- Do not deny there are problems
- Solutions have not worked
- Discussed 9th court of appeals decision
- Deficiency before the fires of 10800 homes – shelters are filled with waiting lists
- Ask the City to tag along – expansion of October 18th item
- Invited people who are involved in the homeless count for 2018
- Suggested a study session
- Invite stake holders in the community
- Good way to go
- Discussed HEAP money
- Do in compassionate and tough love way

ila Benavidez-Heaster commented as follows:

- See group formed to begin to address this
- Discussed homelessness – feel entitled – do not have it and will take it from you
- Not across the board
- Some do not feel safe on Morris Street

- Is a solution here – need to find it
- No facilities or services here
- Begin to look at something to form to address the issue
- People are shelter less
- Money coming through
- Need to put in boundaries

Council deliberations:

Mayor Slayter requested clarification on the safe parking program– update or explanation of program.

Chief Conner stated it no longer exists and provided an explanation of the program run by Catholic Charities and how it dissolved.

Mayor Slayter questioned if this is still on going in the County,

Chief Conner commented it is his belief that the program is not ongoing.

Mayor Slayter requested clarification on the following:

- Discussed League of CA Cities Conference
- 500 million in budget from State for homeless issues
- Money to Sonoma County – breaking news
- City on top of it
- Taking action to get out money that is due us – in process
- Will need agenda item to take formal action

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed recommendations from staff
- Item #3 – rescission of unenforceable ordinance

Councilmember Glass commented as follows:

- Makes sense
- Sets up expectation of enforcement when we cannot enforce

Councilmember Gurney questioned if this is the 72-hour rule. Chief Conner stated it is not; it is the no camping in vehicles ordinance.

Councilmember Gurney questioned if this affects the 72-hour ordinance. Chief Conner stated it does not.

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- 5 camping vehicles a of hit scoring
- Noticed a lot less
- Why less
- Discussed outreach

Chief Conner commented as follows:

- Not sure why there is less

- Discussed the history
- Discussed the vehicles currently there now
- One is parked there because of no where to store the vehicle
- Of the 5 – did not recognize them

Councilmember Carnacchi commented he would like to see whole ordinance before rescinding it.

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Not asking for action tonight
- All have to come back to council on subsequent agenda

The Council was in consensus to return the rescission of the ordinance as an agenda item.

Item #1:

Councilmember Glass commented as follows:

- Appreciate the suggestion of Ms. Brownell about the idea of putting together a group to figure this out
- Bring all stakeholders together
- See businesses, police department, residents of RVs, homeless advocates, members of community – have a study session first
- Concerned this notion of creating the no parking hours
- Discussed unintended consequences
- In reading staff report, clear judicial decisions of unconstitutionality – create arbitrary laws
- Discussed enacting this in order for people to stop parking their vehicles there
- Clear in the public record
- Have legal problem if enacted
- Not go into world of unintended consequences
- Discussed personal experience when working at Board of Supervisors and dealing with a homeless person and his RV – everything was crushed – in jail for more than 90 days
- Situations happen to people who are homeless
- Discussed people who come out of those circumstances moving forward
- Some have mental, emotional, addiction issues
- Discussed dealing with behavior if destructive
- Issue is behavior, not where their home is
- Police Department does good job of community policing
- Not saying there are not problems
- How deal with those problems – kick the can down the road or look at how to better address these problems first
- Come together as community - form group – talk of proactive things we can do
- Use funds to effectively help with this problem
- Different homeless population living in RVs and those living outside
- Help address the issues
- Have received letters from businesses on Morris Street who have not observed problematic behavior
- Form stake holders group
- Take further action after more thought

Councilmember Gurney discussed the group meeting on the homeless.

Gale Brownell commented as follows:

- That group meets at 6:00 Fourth Monday of Month at Senior Center
- Invited the Council to come to the meetings
- Work with group

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Group is in the community
- Thanked for the update
- Compassionate and understanding
- Safety net
- Volunteered for Laguna Clean Up Day
- Chose assignment to walk along Morris Street
- 7 vehicles on Saturday morning
- All working on this
- Watchful and proactive
- Looking for evidence that people were living there and trashing the area
- Got one piece of evidence
- Pleased to have that experience
- Appeared if living there, self-monitoring and keeping the area neat
- Concern of unintended consequences – if regulate this – not taking care of the issue – will move or creep elsewhere
- Discussed personal observances on her street
- Discussed more highly conflicted complaints
- Resolution that is effective for people
- Discussed parking hours – hard time with that recommendation
- Those times are backwards
- Heard concern of safety issues; public health issues witnessed at times when people are there
- Prohibiting at middle of night did not make sense
- Not know of way right now to set hours
- Find out way of who would participate if convened big stakeholder group or committee

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Stated this would need to come back to the Council.
- Existing conditions seem to be well managed
- Law enforcement being social services
- Not fair to police or person needing social services
- Discussed timed parking
- Received correspondence for both sides of the item
- Not seeing justification to take this type of action
- All for taking deeper look
- Empaneling sub-committee

Item #2

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Sounds related to camping issues but it is not
- Protection of residential zones
- Private street not to provide parking for those oversized vehicles
- Parking for limited duration
- Not see looking at this would cause issue for homeless encampment living
- Not see as same issue

Councilmember Glass commented as follows:

- Talking of not storing oversized vehicles
- Addressing issue of parking/leaving any kind of large vehicle (boat, RV) for more than 72 hours

Chief Conner commented as follows:

- 72-hour parking is separate and distinct
- Discussed exceptions for parking large vehicles
- Not appropriate place to store or park that type of equipment
- Not related to hours
- In residential areas – define what are residential areas
- City would need to define

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed ban of inhabiting vehicles
- Discussed unhitched rule
- Discussed vehicle and hitched trailer – can they stay there one night - is that illegal or roll down street in 72 hours in residential area
- How long can they stay

Chief Conner commented as follows:

- Discussed ban (working to rescind)
- Lawful to sleep in car
- Can stay for 72 hours

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- 72-hours in vehicle code
- Some cities have expanded that law
- Discussed combinations of exemptions applicable to cities
- Provided examples of exceptions
- Are extreme cases where streets that are not properly designed – created dangerous line of site situations
- Having to do with recreational vehicles in neighborhoods where neighbors are not designed to accommodate these vehicles

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Discussed unintended consequences
- Stated this is about Morris Street
- May become issue if put rule on Morris Street

- May be pushed to neighborhoods
- Why establish anew rule to deal with something we have not heard about

Chief Conner stated he has received complaints of oversized vehicles and line of site issues.

Councilmember Carnacchi questioned if this is in the zoning code update.

City Manager Mclaughlin commented as follows:

- Set to point to release staff report
- Not addressed in the zoning code update

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Have heard complaints
- Not uncommon in old parts of town
- Had complaint on Pleasant Hill Road – complaint of light from RV that was parked in one spot for days
- Benefit the City to take a look at this

Councilmember Glass commented as follows:

- Received complaints
- Look at other City ordinances
- See what is pertinent to this City
- Return to Council

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed the CofC meeting
- Submit letter of situation and what working towards
- In interim use self-cleaning toilet that they could pay for
- One immediate ask
- Be heard and secure money
- Prepare letter to the CofC

The Council referred this item to staff and to have this item return to the City Council as discussed above.

City Council Action: Directed staff as follows:

- Review other jurisdiction regulations
- Review creation of sub-committee to involve stakeholders
- Council directed staff to proceed with the process necessary to rescind SMC section 10.40.090 TRAILERS
- Council also directed staff to study existing regulations at other municipalities regarding parking and storage of oversized vehicles in residential areas, in order to craft a similar ordinance appropriate to Sebastopol. The Council declined to enact overnight parking prohibition in the Morris Street industrial area.

Minute Order Number: 2018-198

8. Consideration of Approval of Resolution supporting Sebastopol applying to the California Department of Water Resources for a Jurisdictional Boundary Adjustment to include Sebastopol in the Santa Rosa Plain Sub-Basin for the purpose of most effectively complying with the

Sustainable Groundwater Management Act of 2014 as discussed and approved at the September 4, 2018 Council meeting; and receipt of informational report summarizing efforts in this regard to date. (Engineering Manager)

Engineering Manager Mikus presented the report to the City Council recommending the City Council approve the Resolution for submittal of a Jurisdictional Basin Boundary Adjustment Request to the California Department of Water Resources so that the entirety of the City is part of the Santa Rosa Groundwater Sub-Basin and accept the informational report.

Mayor Slayter opened for questions.

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed conference call with DWR
- Recommendations coming from State – Staff stated it is DWR recommendations about whether or not our boundary adjustment should be approved
- Last bullet item – Any risk of Santa Rosa Plain being reclassified as high due to the additional load - Staff stated he does not think so –tiny compared to rest of basin – order of magnitude – discussed thresholds needing to be hit – Santa Rosa is already high priority (Per Mr. Rosenblum)
- Discussed Item 6 - answer is no so what is the point
- Wilson Grove was under working knowledge it was very low not low ?– This was raised in May (per Mr. Rosenblum)
- Staff report/resolution incorrect – Staff stated it should say very low – will correct resolution

Councilmember Carnacchi commented that if we are drawing water from Wilson Grove basin, how would we affect Santa Rosa – not possible is it.

Staff stated they are inter-related – more so along our boundary - Wilson Grove recharges the Santa Rosa Plain.

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment.

Colleen Fernald commented as follows:

- Discussed water sheds to be protected by this City Council
- Can have flexibility with boundaries
- Not know how two ground water basins are inter-related
- Who make assessment happen
- Conflict of interests
- No accountability
- Do not choose
- Tell State need to have oversight
- Discussed damage to water if leave control of water shed
- Need to be player with Water Agency and have stake and legal ground

Bill Mattinson commented as follows:

- If clear in Santa Rosa Plain Sebastopol will have a vote
- GSA has responsibility under laws to protect their ground water

- Water Agency told if someone outside Santa Rosa GSA even in Wilson Grove can put in test wells and take action – would be protected
- Have vote and voice

Mr. Rosenblum commented as follows:

- Wilson Grove extends under Santa Rosa Plain
- Discussed sponge affect
- Will eventually affect all wells
- Water engineer
- Not a geologist
- Have volunteered to help with technical issues
- County and Water Agency interest to provide support letters
- Approach to get support letters
- Do not meet until after the deadline
- Important to get letters now
- Nothing guaranteed that Sebastopol can join
- Objective is to get the Wilson Grove area back down low or very low
- About collaboration
- Big players who are not transparent
- Important to have assertive representation

Councilmember Glass moved and Vice Mayor Hinton seconded the motion to approve the Resolution supporting Sebastopol applying to the California Department of Water Resources for a Jurisdictional Boundary Adjustment to include Sebastopol in the Santa Rosa Plain Sub-Basin for the purpose of most effectively complying with the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act of 2014 as discussed and approved at the September 4, 2018 Council meeting with the correction low risk to state very low risk.

Discussion:

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Challenge risk originally vote against it
- If join Santa Rosa GSA, that all of our liability and responsibility to the Wilson Grove basin is cut
- All strings are cut
- Problem with that
- Cannot they use it from the other side going the other way
- Concern of cutting the string from the source that we draw water from
- Should challenge science

Councilmember Glass commented it is estimated the cost to create own GSA is over a million dollars.

Staff stated it is double that figure.

Councilmember Glass commented as follows:

- \$2.3 million versus \$100,000
- City does not have millions of dollars

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Talking of our water supply

- Sustenance of life
- Should not be a cost

Manager Mikus commented as follows:

- Discussed fighting this with DWR
- Erroneous to think that just because we are joining Santa Rosa that that avenue has been abandoned
- At latest TAC meeting - Received letter from Jay Jasperse
- Water Agency and GSA continuing to push the issue
- Letter hit all technical issues
- No one giving up on it
- Will share letter

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Looking at points
- Mentioned if something came up in future would be able to address a bad actor or if circumstance change can address that
- Answer to Councilmember Carnacchi' s concern

Councilmember Glass commented as follows:

- Standing to address issues that were technically incorrect
- GSA- have access to legal advice and technical expertise

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Asked for DWR to attend meeting
- Getting maybe answers
- Not really sure we will have this kind of leverage

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Glass, Gurney, Vice Mayor Hinton and Mayor Slayter

Noes: Councilmember Carnacchi

Absent: None

Abstain:None

City Council Action: City Council approved the Resolution (amended to correct an error) on a 4:1 vote, with Council Member Carnacchi the dissenting vote.

Minute Order Number: 2018-199

Resolution Number: 6211

Mayor Slayter called for a break at 9:24 pm and reconvened the meeting at 9:30 pm.

The Council was in consensus to hear Items 9 and 10 at one time.

9. Discussion of Results of Bid Proposals for RFP – Healdsburg Avenue Corridor (Engineering Manager)
10. Discussion of Bid Proposals for RFP – Traffic Corridor Safety Studies for the two-way portion of Petaluma Avenue, and for the one-way parallel sections of Petaluma Avenue/McKinley Street and

North & South Main Streets. City council asked for staff to collect collision data for vehicles, bicycles, and pedestrians for the intersections listed in the draft RFPs, and further asked staff to return to council with that information in order to consider reducing the intersections to be studied. (Engineering Manager)

Mayor Slayter and Manager Mikus suggested that Items 9 & 10 were related, and that because of potential synergy they be discussed together.

Engineering Manager Mikus presented the report to the City Council recommending staff seek cost reductions via meetings with the two responding firms, with a return for discussion for Council decision.

Mayor Slayter opened for questions.

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- In middle of striping
- Is there some sense to wait now – not do traffic study with this traffic pattern

Staff stated that will be finished by that time

Councilmember Gurney commented if this was past the election and knew the sales tax measure was approved, will that change how the budget looks.

Staff stated no and discussed the various funds.

City Manager McLaughlin discussed the City has leeway and is not restricted.

Mayor Slayter discussed Measure M funds and Prop 6.

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment. There was none.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Understand logic
- Problem in concept in making this project potentially that big
- Two different segments of roadway
- Discussed the differences
- Discussed picker cottages
- Discussed Healdsburg Avenue
- Discussed uphill traffic and speeding
- Discussed downhill traffic and speeding
- Largely residential especially on North side
- Fatality at smart crosswalk at Murphy
- Almost near fatality at smart crosswalk at Florence
- Discussed Police Department Statistics
- Should be high priority
- Shorter
- Discrete
- Safety measures perceived, designed, implemented and staged

- See work on Healdsburg Avenue
- Discussed improvements may be less major with smart crosswalks there
- Discussed downtown segment
- Prefer to move forward on that one

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Can continue to combine them
- Look at request for each one separate or all
- Look at choices

Manager Mikus commented as follows:

- Conversations would be the same regardless
- Same scope of work
- Discussed two-way street has many years horizon – long process

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Like idea of Vice Mayor Hinton
- If too big however could be expensive
- Like Healdsburg Avenue study

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- A lot of repetition in that area
- Discussed negotiating with the proposers

The Council was in consensus to direct staff to negotiate with proposers as discussed.

City Council Action: City Council gave staff direction to negotiate with both the RFP respondents to seek cost savings, and to include the RFPs from both items together. Council asked that revised pricing be obtained for each route segment separately plus as a single cost for all together, and to return to Council with the negotiation results

Minute Order Number: 2018-200

City Council Action: same as # 9 above

Minute Order Number: 2018-201

11. Discussion of Results of Proposals Received for RFP — Feasibility Study: Return the current one-way street alignment along SR 116 back to two-way traffic. (Engineering Manager)

Engineering Manager Mikus presented the report to the City Council recommending the Council provide direction to staff to either program this work via CIP to where it is affordable, or meet with responders to discuss possible alternates and cost reductions.

Mayor Slayter opened for questions. There was none.

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment. There was none.

Council deliberations:

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Like idea of study
- Good to know cost and scope of work
- Hate to back burner it
- Two-way traffic makes downtown walkable
- Push cars out
- Cars will go around downtown

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Like W Trans outreach in their proposal
- Significance of issues
- Going back to two ways is huge
- Responsive to criticism of parking study
- Controversial
- People complain of traffic
- Hard to get around
- Surprised costs so little because of changes of going back to two way can mean
- Not priority in budget right now
- Need to engage the public in any discussion
- Make sure the public knows it is up for consideration

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed researching pass and two-way streets
- Went to ballot to return to two-way streets- failed
- Looked at proposals
- Discussed outreach proposed
- W Trans proposal shows more public outreach but when looking at proposals they are similar in public outreach
- Discussed De Novo circulation planning
- Produced in February 2018
- Have third proposal
- Hoping to see proposal from De Novo

Manager Mikus commented as follows:

- Comparing apples to oranges
- Discussed their outreach
- Not doing the same outreach as the proposers

Councilmember Carnacchi discussed De Novo's proposal and outreach.

Vice Mayor Hinton questioned when it went to ballot. Councilmember Carnacchi stated it was around 1989.

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Assumed City Council could take charge and take City back
- Invalid

- Rendered legal opinion
- Vote would be nulled
- Stayed do ballot and people voted no
- Discussed an advisory vote

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Suggested narrowing the scope
- Use one section of Main per Bob Beauchamp
- Use his plans
- Return one block of north main to two way
- Would be reversible

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Stated that is a different proposal
- Discussed community input
- Discussed different versions
- Discussed outreach in TKJM proposal does not feel like enough
- Interesting concept and useful information
- Useful exercise but not right now

Councilmember Glass commented as follows:

- Not spend any money right now until know outcome of measures
- Not see pile of extra money until realize revenue from hotel with increased TOT

The Council was in consensus to table this item.

City Council Action: no formal action taken

Minute Order Number: 2018-202

CITY COUNCIL REPORTS:

12. City Manager-Attorney/City Clerk Reports:

- Discussed the September 20th City Manager Meeting – topic is emergency preparedness

13. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards):

Mayor Slayter reported as follows:

- Attended League of CA Cities Conference with Councilmember Glass
- Good sessions
- Good conversations with other communities

Councilmember Glass reported as follows:

- Discussed programs with City of Berkeley
- Discussed how many Mayors and Councilmembers come to Sebastopol
- Found out a lot of information

Councilmember Gurney reported as follows:

- Written SCTA /RCPA Meeting – relayed highlights from the report
- Discussed meeting on the 24 Route and possible revisions – Discussed statistics of riders – increase in teen riders
- Laguna Clean Up Day
- Sebastopol Walks - September 29th

Mayor Slayter leading walk October 27th – Opportunity Knocks – Opportunity sites in Sebastopol.

Vice Mayor Hinton reported as follows: League of CA Cities Legislative Committee - Councilmember Carnacchi to attend for Vice Mayor Hinton.

14. Council Communications Received: There was none.
15. Future City Meeting Dates/Events (Informational Only): (See Agenda and City Web site for Up to Date Meeting Dates/Times)

CLOSED SESSION: None

ADJOURNMENT OF REGULAR MEETING: This meeting was adjourned at 10:25 pm to the next Regular Meeting to be held Tuesday, October 2, 2018, at 6:00 pm at the Sebastopol Youth Annex/Teen Center, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mary Gourley
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk, MMC