

City of Sebastopol City Council Regular Meeting
Minutes of
Meeting of June 19, 2018

The public is advised that pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.5 all writings submitted to the City Council are public records and will be made available for review.

Please note that minutes are not verbatim minutes and are meant to be the City's record of a summary of actions that took place at the meeting.

Notice: All resolutions and ordinances introduced and/or adopted under this agenda are waived of all reading of entire resolution(s) and ordinance(s).

The Sebastopol City Council welcomes you to its meetings that are generally scheduled for the 1st and 3rd Tuesday of every month. Your interest and participation are encouraged and appreciated.

SIMULTANEOUS MEETING COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE (Government Code § 54952.3): Members of the City Council receive no additional compensation as a result of convening a joint meeting of the City Council and Successor Agency to the Former Community Development Agency

SB 751 Legislative bodies of local agencies must publicly report: (1) any action taken and (2) the vote or abstention on each action taken by each member present for the action at a meeting.

A notice of the meeting was posted by the City Clerk on June 13, 2018.

5:30 pm **CONVENE CITY COUNCIL MEETING, Sebastopol Youth Annex/Teen Center, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA**

CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Slayter called the meeting to order at 5:38 pm.

ROLL CALL

Present: Mayor Slayter
 Vice Mayor Hinton
 Councilmember Carnacchi
 Councilmember Gurney
Absent: Councilmember Glass (excused)
Staff: City Manager/City Attorney Larry McLaughlin
 Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley

1. Interview of Applicant for the Opening on the Sonoma County Library for a Representative from Sebastopol (Current Rep: Helena Whistler – Term Ends June 2018) (City Administration)
Applicant: Stephen C. Zollman

The City Council conducted the interview with the one applicant.

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: No action taken. Council interviewed applicant.

REFERENCE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-129

ADJOURNMENT: Mayor Slayter adjourned the special meeting at 5:55 pm.

6:00 pm CONVENE CITY COUNCIL MEETING, Sebastopol Youth Annex/Teen Center, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA

CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Slayter called the meeting to order at 6:03 pm.

ROLL CALL:

Present: Mayor Slayter
Vice Mayor Hinton
Councilmember Carnacchi
Councilmember Gurney
Absent: Councilmember Glass (excused)
Staff: City Manager/City Attorney Larry McLaughlin
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley
Engineering Manager Henry Mikus
Chief James Conner

SALUTE TO THE FLAG: Mayor Slayter led the salute to the flag.

PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS: There were none.

PUBLIC COMMENT

- o Speakers are allowed to speak for a maximum of three minutes so that all speakers have an opportunity to address the City Council. Power point or visual presentations for public comment shall not be permitted unless approved by the Agenda Review Committee two weeks prior to the requested meeting date.
- o Yielding Time: Speakers may not "yield" a portion of their allotted time to others.
- o The Mayor has the authority to limit or extend the time allowed for speakers dependent on the number of speakers in attendance.
- o The Mayor can poll the members of the public for an indication of the number of people wishing to speak, then call on individuals to speak.
- o It is the goal of the Council to conclude the public comments portion of the agenda within 20 minutes. If the public comment period exceeds twenty minutes, the presiding officer, typically the Mayor, reserves the right to reduce the time per speaker or carry over public comments to after all business items are completed.
- o The City Clerk will monitor the time for public comments and inform the speakers when the time limitation has been reached.
- o The Mayor could survey the members of the public, as appropriate, to move agenda items up or back to address the members of the public items of concern.
- o Public participation is encouraged on all public agenda items.
- o Council and staff will treat participants and each other with courtesy. Derogatory or sarcastic comments are inappropriate.
- o The public will likewise be encouraged by the Mayor to maintain meeting decorum.
- o In Council meetings when citizens are agitated, the Mayor may call a short recess to calm the situation.
- o If a member of the public is unable to attend the Council meeting, written communications may be sent to the City Clerk by e-mail or by regular mail. Communications received after distribution of the agenda packet will be made available to the Council at the meeting.

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment.

Dave Gordon commented as follows:

- Molly Eckler and he are producing a mural in the Barlow
- Mural will be on a Barlow building
- Working with new business owner
- Has working design
- Putting banner on wall
- Stated how helpful Councilmember Carnacchi has been in the process
- Excited to be doing mural

A member of the audience commented as follows:

- Representing coalition of businesses on Morris Street and Barlow

- Petition presented to the City Council
- Requested enforcement of Ordinance 10.40.090 on Morris Street
- Discussed parking on the street
- Discussed safety issues
- Urination/defecation/sex acts
- Discussed harassment at Project Graduation
- Discussed areas being used for dog run and pet urination areas
- Trash being dumped into the Laguna
- Requested enforcement of ordinance
- Discussed City Council Goals about protecting the Laguna

Brian Perry, Facilities Manager, Barlow, commented as follows:

- Discussed his role at the Barlow - Site safety and security
- Discussed issues on Morris Street
- Weekly basis – finding needles in public restrooms
- People showering in sinks
- Breaking into garbage areas in the Barlow
- Discussed employees being in fear/uncomfortable
- Incidents risen to level of involving Sebastopol Police
- Issue continues to grow and intensify
- Discussed hands off policy from the City
- Understand desire for dignity and rights of these individuals
- Something needs to be done

Valerie commented as follows:

- Illegal to park over 72 hours
- Stated people can park at fairgrounds
- Proud of Sebastopol - Not proud to see Morris Street
- Discussed visitors coming to town and seeing this
- Hurts businesses

Rei Blaser commented as follows:

- Thanked Vice Mayor Hinton for the banners
- Discussed the report out on Agenda item number 17
- Asked that it be removed and consider the committee to be disbanded and reconvened as standing advisory committee
- Follow under Brown Act rules
- Disrespectful to community
- Needs to be standing committee
- Public should be able to attend
- Needs to conduct a town hall meeting

Nancy Prebelich commented as follows:

- Discussed the report out
- Did not appear to have public comment on this item
- Confused how we conduct business

- Discussed 2012 – deemed unviable to have civic center and City hall on this property
- Why not disbanded then
- Keep rolling money and changing names of committees
- Discussed funding to consultant
- Watched last Council meeting
- Do not want to be selling public property and parking for development
- Advocate of housing
- This is not the solution - Why keep going and going
- Putting lipstick on zombie
- Stated this will just not die

Lisa, Village Bakery, commented as follows:

- Barlow has become such a draw
- Become meeting place a collection place
- Resident of Sebastopol
- Used to feel safe here
- Do not feel safe anymore
- Counted 17 RVs on Morris Street
- Now turned into campsite
- Recommended no overnight – no camping
- Needs to be safe
- Being woman at Barlow – do not feel as safe
- Barlow moving parking lot further away from buildings for customers and this makes her even more uncomfortable

Mayor Slayter commented that if people at any time feel unsafe, they should contact the Police Department for an escort, to report a problem, etc.

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- City staff working with businesses
- Difficult subject to address
- Discussed ordinance
- Subject of court cases
- Limits City's ability to enforce the way it is written
- Police aware of the problems
- Will do what they can to enforce the other City ordinances where they have the ability to enforce (sanitation, trespassing)
- CA Vehicle code section (72 hours) - any movement of vehicle within 72 hour period brings person into compliance with code section
- Discussed unintended consequences
- Unfortunately, topic familiar with, tried best can to deal with it, is complicated problem, will continue to address
- Police Chief good about responding to this issue
- Wide range of comments received
- Remedy in one area can create repercussions in other areas of town
- Street origin is industry area of town

- Causing relocation could send RVs into residential areas
- More numerous than used to be in residential areas
- Not limited to Morris Street

Police Chief Conner commented as follows:

- Concurs with City Manager
- Discussed bigger picture
- Discussed court decisions
- City ordinance unconstitutional
- Enforced on certain class of people
- Not just in Sebastopol - Societal problem - Across State and United States
- Aware of how looks - Aware of concerns
- Actively go out and mark vehicles for 72 hours – if moved in compliance with law
- Discussed frustration
- In discussion with law enforcement partners with other enforcement options
- Spend time each week contacting campers
- Take action when enforcement that lawfully entitled to take
- Court system- people are living in vehicles – have rights
- Discussed quality of life
- Is a problem that the City would like to be able to solve
- Like a water balloon – if squeeze problem pops out in another location
- No legal authority to make someone move
- Active discussion continuing to have
- If uncomfortable please call the Police Department to come help
- If see a problem please notify the police department

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed research from the Police Department
- Have the Police Department report out at the next meeting

Chief Conner stated he would provide a report to the City Council at the next meeting.

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Noticed license plates are from out of State
- Any information if these are truly out of state citizens
- Anything to do with old plates – are they up to the vehicle code

Chief Conner commented as follows:

- Officers are proactive and eager to enforce rules and laws
- Problem area on Morris Street
- No answer tonight
- Officers knowledge of code and will check plates

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed fairgrounds
- Safe secure sanitary campground there to be referred to

Chief Conner stated there is sanitary campground at the fairgrounds.

Councilmember Gurney suggested preparing a flyer and handing it out to the campers.

Chief Conner commented as follows:

- Discussed the homeless resource guide that is provided.
- Discussed court case - City of San Diego ordinance – our ordinance is the same
- New version of that making its way through the court right now
- Updated City code if it passes the court

Valerie asked how can the CHP tow vehicles but the City cannot.

Chief Conner commented as follows:

- Two different issues
- Discussed CHP towing vehicle away – marked and goes back in 72 hours – if not moved - can tow
- City has same ordinance
- When marked and then moved – cannot be towed

Councilmember Carnacchi requested the citizens leaving their email addresses and the City will send out information when agendaized.

Councilmember Gurney suggested having the group meet with the Chief as well.

STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST BY MAYOR/CITY COUNCILMEMBERS FOR ITEMS ON THE AGENDA
(This is the time for the Mayor or City Councilmembers to indicate any statements of conflicts of interests for any item listed on this agenda). *There were none.*

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION):

2. Consideration of Appointment of City of Sebastopol Representative on the Sonoma County Library (Term Ending: June 30, 2022) (City Administration)

City Manager McLaughlin presented the agenda item recommending the City Council consider appointment of the City of Sebastopol Representative on the Sonoma County Library Commission (Term Ending: June 30, 2022)

Mayor Slayter asked for questions of staff. There was none.

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment. There was none.

Council deliberations:

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Impressed with applicant
- Discussed social justice work
- Discussed working with children
- Expanding services of library into other areas of social justice

- Services outside tradition of library
- Comfortable with applicant

Mayor Slayter moved and Councilmember Gurney seconded the motion to Appoint Stephen Zollman as the City of Sebastopol Representative on the Sonoma County Library Commission (Term Ending: June 30, 2022).

Discussion:

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Usually in favor of re-noticing when a lot of applications are not received
- Not so in this case
- Impressed with interest in social justice issues
- Compatible with community
- Pleased the applicant was interested to apply for the opening
- Helena Whistler regularly attended Library advisory board meetings – suggested that to the applicant
- Additional duty as well as networking with friends of Sebastopol library

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Concurred with comments
- Encouraged applicant to meet head of library
- Get to know Sebastopol library

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed being the City liaison to the Library Advisory Board
- Stated this will be a great fit

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carnacchi, Gurney, Vice Mayor Hinton and Mayor Slayter

Noes: None

Absent: Councilmember Glass

Abstain: None

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: Approved appointment of Stephen Zollman as the City of Sebastopol Representative on the Sonoma County Library Commission (Term Ending: June 30, 2022).

MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-130

CONSENT CALENDAR:

- The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar.
- The Mayor will read the consent calendar items; ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to three minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an agenda item or items be removed for discussion.
- If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tem.
- Council Members may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tem.

Councilmember Carnacchi requested Item Number 7 and 10 be removed from the consent calendar and provided the following comments on Item Number 9.

- Discussed the depletion of the sewer fund
- Stated the last time sewer rates were raised, rents were also raised on the two places he rents
- If raise sewer rates again, rents may be raised also

City Manager Mclaughlin recommended pulling item 8 as the budget was pulled from the consent calendar.

Vice Mayor Hinton moved and Councilmember Gurney seconded the motion to approve the Consent Calendar Item(s) number(s) 3, 4, 5, 6, and 9.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carnacchi, Gurney, Vice Mayor Hinton and Mayor Slayter
Noes: None
Absent: Councilmember Glass
Abstain: None

3. Approval of Minutes of May 30, 2018 Special City Council Meeting Minutes (City Administration)
CITY COUNCIL ACTION: Approved Minutes of May 30, 2018 Special City Council Meeting Minutes
MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-131

4. Approval of Minutes of May 31, 2018 Special City Council Meeting Minutes (City Administration)
CITY COUNCIL ACTION: Approved Minutes of May 31, 2018 Special City Council Meeting Minutes
MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-132

5. Approval of Minutes of June 5, 2018 City Council Meeting Minutes (City Administration)
CITY COUNCIL ACTION: Approved Minutes of June 5, 2018 City Council Meeting Minutes
MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-133

6. Approval of Resolution Calling and Giving Notice of a General Municipal Election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2018, for the Election of Members of the City Council as required, and Requesting the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors to Consolidate the General Municipal Election with the Statewide General Election to be held on that date pursuant to Elections Code; and Approval of Resolution Adopting Regulations for Candidates for Elective Office Pertaining to the Candidate Statement of Qualifications and Requiring Each Candidate Filing a Candidate Statement of Qualifications Pay in Advance to the Local Agency an Estimated Pro Rate Share as a Condition of Having His/her Statement included in the Voters Pamphlet for the Municipal Election to be held on November 6, 2018.(City Administration)

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: Approved Resolution Calling and Giving Notice of a General Municipal Election to be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2018, for the Election of Members of the City Council as required, and Requesting the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors to Consolidate the General Municipal Election with the Statewide General Election to be held on that date pursuant to Elections Code; and Approval of Resolution Adopting Regulations for Candidates for Elective Office Pertaining to the Candidate Statement of Qualifications and Requiring Each Candidate Filing a Candidate Statement of Qualifications Pay in Advance to the Local Agency an Estimated Pro Rate Share as a Condition of Having His/her Statement included in the Voters Pamphlet for the Municipal Election to be held on November 6, 2018

MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-134
RESOLUTION NUMBERS: 6191 and 6192

(Three Seats: Glass, Gurney, Slayter)

7. ~~Approval of the Fiscal Year 2018-2019 City of Sebastopol Budget (Finance Director):~~

- ~~7a. Approval of Resolution Approving and Adopting Fiscal Year 2018-2019 City of Sebastopol Budget;~~
- ~~7b. Approval and Adoption of Resolution establishing the appropriations limit for the City of Sebastopol for the 2018-2019 pursuant to Article XIII B of the California Constitution;~~
- ~~7c. Adoption of Resolution Approving Supplemental Law Enforcement Funds (SLEF);~~
- ~~7d. Adoption of Resolution Amending City Council Financial Policy #69 (Finance Director)~~
- ~~7e. Adoption of Resolution Amending City Council Purchasing Policy No. 89~~
- ~~7f. Adoption of Resolution of City Council Investment Policy No. 90~~
- ~~7g. Adoption of Resolution of City Council Capitalization and Depreciation Policy No. 91~~

- ~~8. Approval and Adoption of Resolution Authorizing and Approving the City of Sebastopol Current Pay Rates and Ranges (Salary Schedule) (Finance Director)~~

- 9. Approval of Resolution Adopting the Capital Improvement Program Budget for FY 18-19 (Engineering Manager)
CITY COUNCIL ACTION: Approved Resolution Adopting the Capital Improvement Program Budget for FY 18-19
MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-137
RESOLUTION NUMBER: 6201

- ~~10. Approval of Use of City Council Initiatives Fund (FY 17-18) and Use of FY 17-18 City Council Funds (Account Code: 100-1001-4510) to be Approved for Carry over Into the FY 18-19 City Budget to be Used for an Appreciation Dinner for the Planning Commission, Design Review Board and Public Arts Committee to be Sponsored by the City Council at a future date/time to be determined (Councilmember Carnacchi)~~

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATION: NONE

PUBLIC HEARING(s):

Please Note: Public Hearing Items 11 and 12 Have Been Continued to a Future City Council Meeting Date

- 11. This public hearing, scheduled to consider a comprehensive update to the City's Zoning Ordinance, has been continued to a future City Council Meeting date. (Planning Department)

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: None. Item Continued to Future Meeting Date.

MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-139

- 12. This public hearing, scheduled to consider a comprehensive update to the City's Zoning Map, has been rescheduled to a future City Council Meeting date. (Planning Department)

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: None. Item Continued to Future Meeting Date.

MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-140

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION) (Continued):

- 13. Consideration of Approval of Sebastopol Solid Waste Collection Services Annual Rate Adjustment (Recology Sonoma Marin) (Engineering Manager)

Engineering Manager Mikus presented the agenda item recommending the City Council Approve the Sebastopol Solid Waste Collection Services Annual Rate Adjustment (Recology Sonoma Marin).

Mayor Slayter asked for questions of staff.

Vice Mayor Hinton questioned if there has been no rate increase since 2009.

Staff stated that is incorrect in that there was a rate increase in 2016 and discussed the carry-over of fees.

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed fees collected to drive on roadways
- Questioned if staff knew the estimate in dollar figures of how much additional franchise fees will the City be collecting

Staff stated he did not know.

Mayor Slayter questioned if this was a modest increase in the UUT.

Staff stated that is correct.

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment. There was none.

Council Deliberations:

Vice Mayor Hinton questioned the total amount of increase in franchise fees to be collected by the City.

Finance Director Kwong stated the current budget allocates \$180,000 without the increase. The increase would be 10% of the total of increase in gross receipts.

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Can City do anything to offset costs
- Discussed if the City can do our own collection/recycling programs to reduce costs

Staff commented as follows:

- Stated that recycling services are already provided and is not a new service.
- Cost increase is to individual recipients

Councilmember Gurney moved and Vice Mayor Hinton seconded the motion to Approve the Sebastopol Solid Waste Collection Services Annual Rate Adjustment (Recology Sonoma Marin).

Discussion:

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Touring facility on Friday
- More effective in recycling than previous contractor

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carnacchi, Glass, Gurney, Vice Mayor Hinton and Mayor Slayter

Noes: None

Absent: Councilmember Glass

Abstain: None

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: Approved the Sebastopol Solid Waste Collection Services Annual Rate Adjustment (Recology Sonoma Marin).

MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-141

14. Consideration of Approval of Authorization to issue a Request for Proposals for a Traffic Corridor Safety Study for Healdsburg Avenue and Gravenstein Highway North (Mayor Slayter/Engineering Manager)

Mayor Slayter/Engineering Manager Mikus presented the agenda item recommending the City Council Approve Authorization to issue a Request for Proposals for a Traffic Corridor Safety Study for Healdsburg Avenue and Gravenstein Highway North.

Mayor Slayter asked for questions.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed Danmar Drive
- Is this subject to this RFP
- Does the city get to see what they are designing
- How does the City know what is going on at Danmar
- Is that crosswalk/intersection part of the RFP
- Do we maintain Danmar

Engineering Manager Mikus stated he can get that information but it is not part of RFP. Staff stated the City does maintain Danmar.

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Discussed Charter School application
- Discussed County approval
- Discussed this intersection/crosswalk
- Stated staff had input not the application/design

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed the crosswalks and discussed improvements for the Charter School
- Appreciates the Mayor bringing this forward
- When completed, work could be used for when the City goes out to bid or goes out for grant applications
- Discussed Ragle and Bodega projects (grant money received)

Manager Mikus discussed SB1.

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- City is behind on repaving
- Like to think if this moves forward and get study done, may be chance proposed improvements could be done at time of restriping and repaving

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed page 2 of staff report
- Discussed crossing at DuFranc (no crossing)
- Not far from Murphy Avenue - Not make sense to have two crosswalks right there to study – waste of money
- No one crosses at DuFranc

Manager Mikus commented this would be crosswalks on Healdsburg Avenue.

Councilmember Gurney discussed statistics and traffic habits.

Manager Mikus suggested looking at intersections that are not signalized.

Councilmember Carnacchi suggested studying the crosswalk near Papa Murphy's business on Healdsburg Avenue.

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Discussed when this was placed on the pending list – Staff stated late fall/early this year
- Questioned if this has been studied before on this corridor – Councilmember Gurney commented only Street Smart
- Stated this is the first time that the study has come forward as an agenda item without money being allocated to go out for an RFP – is this unusual and why is the City doing it this way – Mayor Slayter stated the City does not know what it will cost and this will give the City an estimate of the cost of a study
- Questioned how many people might submit bids on RFP – Staff stated they would hope to get at least three bids

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Questioned if this is advertised – staff stated yes
- Stated the area near Handline needs to be studied as well

Councilmember Gurney discussed the Street-Smart project in the location.

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- New school at north end of town
- Increased traffic
- No safety study work on that part of the roadway
- Did for Bodega Avenue
- See benefit of that work
- Stated Healdsburg Avenue residents should experience same level of work and study as Bodega Avenue

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Questioned if other areas of town could be looked at
- Would like the Handline area looked at as well

Manager Mikus commented as follows:

- Healdsburg Avenue unique orientation
- During certain times of the year, the sun is awful in that area
- Different traffic experiences on south end of town
- Relayed his personal experiences
- That leg is always busy and backed up

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Discussed the traffic fatality on Healdsburg Avenue
- Discussed one other serious pedestrian injury – most expensive lawsuit in Sebastopol history

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Discussed the south end of town
- Questioned if there was an accident in that area as well – Staff stated yes

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Accident happened before Street Smart crosswalk was installed
- Discussed curve and elevation changes on Healdsburg Avenue
- New school in that location
- Sidewalk on north side
- Distinguishable from south part of town

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed the one fatality on Healdsburg Avenue
- Control boxes for crosswalks is the same size as a person – blocks the person
- Needs to be studied
- Take notice for future safety

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment. There was none.

Council Deliberations:

Councilmember Gurney moved and Mayor Slayter seconded the motion to Approve Authorization to issue a Request for Proposals for a Traffic Corridor Safety Study for Healdsburg Avenue and Gravenstein Highway North.

Discussion:

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- South end of town – traffic safety study – not minimized by this action
- Can piggy back onto this item

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Interested in that study
- Drive south side of town every day
- See areas that might need studying
- Has extreme amount of traffic coming in on that side of town
- A lot of development has occurred as well in that area
- Same situation as Healdsburg
- Study both sides of town
- Have expert tell us where to put money for public safety

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Suggest moving forward on this
- Discuss with Henry Manager idea of another RFP for that end of town
- Staff can bring back in quick turn around

- Can Piggy back with this item
- Discussed concern with the middle part of town not being studied

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Staff could have this done for the next council meeting
- Not agendaized tonight in way to alert citizens on that end of town of discussion of that area
- RFP discussed as two separate subjects in RFP
- Two separate proposals
- Not bidding situation
- Choose consultant based on expertise not cost

Manager Mikus commented as follows:

- Could put something together for south end of town
- Get handle on prices and then include that in the CIP in spring
- Cannot ignore middle section of town
- Need information for major streets in City

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- RFP should include study of crosswalk near Redwood Credit Union
- That area needs to be studied
- Need to do a Highway 116 corridor study
- Bring back as package for RFP then can review it after the election – will have a better idea if sales tax measure is passed
- Will know then if the City can afford to do this

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Discussed that there are two or three subject matters
- May not be enough money to do large segments
- Prioritize sections

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Would like to see an RFP in sections
- North
- Central
- South
- Should this go forward, that gets us further down the road
- May be way to economize all three studies
- Get Healdsburg out sooner
- Moving forward on what we can as fast as we can

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Good plan to get down
- Piggy back pieces 2 and 3 on the July meeting
- Council always making decisions of prioritizing funds
- If had one long length of 116 to study may not be able to afford that
- Take in pieces

- Next priority is south with situation Handline created

Vice Mayor Hinton questioned who would bring this forward.

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Staff to return with information
- Does not need to be sponsored by a Councilmember
- Staff will bring this back at the next meeting

Councilmember Carnacchi stated he would like to amend the motion to request that the RFP receive a minimum of four proposals before it is returned to the City Council.

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- City will receive proposals
- Not know how many the City will receive
- Not attempt to pair out proposals
- Will bring to the City Council all proposals received

Councilmember Gurney stated she will not be amending her motion.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Gurney, Vice Mayor Hinton and Mayor Slayter
Noes: Councilmember Carnacchi
Absent: Councilmember Glass
Abstain: None

The Council directed staff to prepare two additional RFPs, for the two-way portion of Petaluma Avenue, and another for the one-way parallel sections of Petaluma Avenue/McKinley Street and North & South Main Streets to be returned to the next City Council Meeting.

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: Approved Authorization to issue a Request for Proposals for a Traffic Corridor Safety Study for Healdsburg Avenue and Gravenstein Highway North.

MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-142

Mayor Slayter called for a break at 7:43 pm and reconvened the meeting at 7:58 pm.

15. Consideration of Request for Placement of Proposed Funding in the FY 18-19 City of Sebastopol Budget for a Circulation Planning Study for Two-Way Streets and By Pass into the FY 18-19 City of Sebastopol Budget (Councilmember Carnacchi)

Councilmember Carnacchi presented the agenda item recommending the City Council Approve Placement of Proposed Funding in the FY 18-19 City of Sebastopol Budget for a Circulation Planning Study for Two-Way Streets and By Pass and requested the creation of a sub-committee and for the sub-committee to use up to \$5000 for public meetings and outreach. He stated this should be contingent upon whether or not the sales tax measure passes. Councilmember Carnacchi also presented a handout to the City Council.

Mayor Slayter asked for questions.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Summarized what was said
- Items discussed by Councilmember Carnacchi tonight was not part of staff report
- Summarized request as follows:
 - Asking for \$5000 initially
 - Asking for creation of Sub-committee
 - Sub-Committee use of \$5000 for community outreach and meetings
 - Placeholder for \$60,000 in budget for FY 18-19
 - Contingent on sales tax passing (over \$5000)

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed funding for public outreach
- Placeholder of money for study
- Discussed the General Plan and that it contains action items to have this happen
- Not inconsistent with General Plan
- Discussed map provided (one drawn by Bob Beauchamp and second one is Councilmember Carnacchi's – described proposed examples of two-way street system downtown)
- Discussed creating sub-committee to review ideas

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Discussed being involved in Sebastopol Downtown when discussing two way to one way
- Discussed parking concerns
- Wonder how the merchants feel
- Questioned where the Sebastopol Downtown Association feels about this
- Questioned who is moving this forward
- Is there support from downtown merchants
- Not move forward with item that a lot of people may not be passionate about

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Need to seek that information
- Discussed talking with merchants
- Not brought to SDA
- Most people he spoke with are in favor
- Some reservation of changes
- Need study before moving forward

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed what can be done with \$60,000
- Discussed \$25,000 for traffic study recently discussed by City Council tonight
- \$60,000 not approach the feasibility study
- What criteria to use if doable or not
- Discussed need for feasibility of two-way study

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed the estimate from De Novo
- Suggested a town hall meeting to get input

- If the public wants this done – then the City can do it
- If the public does not want this done – then it won't be done
- Depends on public response
- Discussed planning for two-way by pass
- Discussed De Novo estimate

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Stated the figures come from the De Novo work up
- Stated there are other consultants
- Stated there are other ways to work this up
- Need public input

Mayor Slayter questioned who directed Kenyon to get the work up from De Novo.

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Retained De Novo to do General Plan update
- As part of that staff was directed to have De Novo do a scope of work to do a study
- Provided this at that time per Council request
- If goes forward ask De Novo to update that as it is aged now

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment.

Paul Fritz, CORE Project, commented as follows:

- Support idea of looking at two-way street system
- Major component of SDAT final report
- Policy goal of General Plan
- Continue dialogue
- Get into weeds
- W Trans did preliminary analysis - Came back with yes to two-way streets
- Allocate money to continue investigating this
- A lot of take on
- Long process
- Discussed Caltrans involvement
- Discussed wanting more people-centric approach to downtown
- Study returns us to two-way streets
- Not sure of public meeting without design to talk about
- Do preliminary analysis then public outreach

Rei Blaser commented as follows:

- Support idea for sub-committee
- Not limit to people on Council
- Citizens help the process
- Supports Councilmember Carnacchi's ability to lead this agenda item
- Response to community interest in reducing traffic downtown
- Discussed livability
- Caltrans – two-way street system in their reports

- Discussed Cloverdale downtown
- Have to start conversation
- Discussed Pine Grove Square project
- That project was not discussed with the merchants
- Hold sub-committee responsible for getting feedback
- Agree with Paul Fritz
- Need more information on two-way streets
- Discussed dirt and smut on merchandise because of traffic

ila Benavidez-Heaster commented as follows:

- Was a two-way street many years ago
- Discussed turning left from Bodega problematic – can do now
- Have equipment now to make it work
- One way facilitates highway approach
- Okay to do exploration
- Keep costs down
- Do an exploration first
- Question of where to invest time
- Begin exploration – what are the questions

Council Deliberations:

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Do initial study first before reach out to public
- Asking for help with this
- Have to experience soot on merchandise before believe it
- Hopes to achieve action items in General Plan
- Discussed the creation of a sub-committee with members of the community

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed living with the two-way street system
- Traffic stacked back everywhere
- Discussed SDAT activity
- Did work of Route 24 changes with zero money budgeted
- Item came through – staff not involved – now the City has a free bus route
- Outreach and networking with community without money being attached to it
- Genuine and trustworthy in its quality
- Work product useful
- Items should be separated (by pass and two-way streets)
- Choose top priority - Easier – return to two-way street system
- Discussed meeting with SCTA and Caltrans
- Discussed TCR Report – prescribed thing Caltrans has to do – no authority within organization
- Bypass not in County General Plan - Not in City jurisdiction - Big hurdle
- Not likely get built out even if have plan
- Focus on two-way streets
- Designs are infinite
- Not sure approach is fruitful

- What is work plan for community outreach
- What would a sub-committee want
- Should be framed to get answers that are helpful
- Loss to know how the sub-committee approach would be and where would the money go

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Egg and chicken approach – which comes first
- Want something for people to react to - Ideas to bounce off of
- Get consultant involved to do design
- As soon as up on wall it is what people fixate on
- Figure out what to do first
- Designs presented tonight are one person's thoughts
- Pull bypass out as separate item
- Idea of City of Sebastopol funding a study of a roadway not in our jurisdiction – does not make sense as City official
- Not say to constituent to say that City spent \$60000 on road that is in the City of Santa Rosa
- Not in favor of bypass work
- Two-way streets are interesting

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Not interested in bypass
- Interested in two-way streets
- Not have cars in plaza
- Ask for someone to give us estimate of what it would be to charge us to come up with downtown return to two-way streets
- RFP without commitment to spend money
- Reports talk about it
- Put out there and see what it comes back as

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Reasonable idea
- Difficulty – need buy in, interest, commitment of people who would be involved
- Stakeholders – SDA – Chamber – Businesses - Input from Citizens
- Not see how get that
- Not think that would be enough information
- Sub-committee outreach work in advance of RFP
- Highly controversial issue with a lot of funding behind it
- Not sure if Caltrans would want to be a part of it

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Earlier item, no public outreach was done
- People interested in two-way streets
- Suggested doing an RFP and getting an estimate
- At that point public outreach happens
- Why create sub-committee to do public outreach without knowing cost or plan

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Discussed De Novo submittal
- Discussed RFP process
- Discussed traffic analysis of recommendation for two-way streets
- Like their approach
- Initial engineering analysis
- Then do community outreach

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Good channel with Caltrans
- Good dialogue with Caltrans
- City has meetings with Caltrans
- Have \$3.1 million dollars for sidewalk project that has gone back to design phase
- Money sitting there to invest in downtown streets

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Stated those Caltrans monies are specifically allocated
- Not returned to general pot
- Not sure available for use
- Need initial engineering ideas of two-way streets
- Need Ideas and feasibility

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed the RFP process
- Viable alternative study by someone who does this for a living
- Not know if viable
- See problem with original agenda proposal
- Hold town hall meeting with ideas that have not been vetted by professionals
- Not know costs
- Need to know budgetary constraints
- Doing RFP makes sense
- Proceeding in prudent way
- Budget/council/community buy in

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed community outreach
- Not certain most of community wants to return to two-way streets
- Not sure what return to two-way street system would accomplish
- What is the goal
- Idea needs to be dialed in more
- What do we want to create
- Conversation has not happened
- Have community buy in

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- In support of the RFP

- Nothing to lose
- Can do networking
- Discussed San Anselmo two-way streets
- Discussed benefits to merchants – this is where people are going
- Hard to do outreach alone as a Councilmember
- Go out for RFP
- Decide how to move from there

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed SDAT report out
- Connect next dot
- Discussed congestion issues Longer lines - A lot of issues that people need to be aware of
- What would content of RFP be

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Create RFP along lines of what De Novo would do
- Hopeful that would not be that much staff work to write up RFP

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed congestion issues
- Study both (bypass and two-way streets) at same time – relieve some of that pressure if went back to two-way streets
- Putting County residents to find other ways around
- Wear and tear on roads lessened
- Do first section of North Main- can be reversed
- Request traffic engineer to study of returning to two-way streets

Vice Mayor Hinton stated the City should have professionals making the suggestions.

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Unlikely successful project in today's world
- Discussed construction costs - Environmental issues - Not see reality of it being tangible
- What we can control is within the City of Sebastopol
- Do level best to have needs of residents within City of Sebastopol being taken care of
- Moving county traffic is to be considered but not a priority
- Support RFP
- Reverse engineering analysis
- Come back to Council for review

Councilmember Gurney questioned if this would be a traffic or planning consultant.

Mayor Slayter stated it could be both.

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Discussed the De Novo estimate
- Has a traffic engineer as well

- Two-way streets analysis then holds stake holder meetings and community outreach

Councilmember Gurney stated that this needs to be designed by experts.

City Manager McLaughlin stated staff would return with an RFP for Council review.

Vice Mayor Hinton moved and Councilmember Carnacchi moved to direct staff to prepare a Request for Proposals (RFP) for consultants to perform a study on the feasibility of returning two-way traffic to downtown core City streets that currently are one-way, and to return to seek approval of the RFP at the July 17, 2018 Council meeting.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carnacchi, Gurney, Vice Mayor Hinton and Mayor Slayter

Noes: None

Absent: Councilmember Glass

Abstain: None

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: Directed staff to prepare a Request for Proposals (RFP) for consultants to perform a study on the feasibility of returning two-way traffic to downtown core City streets that currently are one-way, and to return to seek approval of the RFP at the July 17, 2018 Council meeting.

MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-143

The Council was in consensus to recall Consent Calendar Items 7 and 8.

Vice Mayor Hinton moved and Councilmember Carnacchi seconded the motion to approve recalled consent calendar items number 7 and 8.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carnacchi, Gurney, Vice Mayor Hinton and Mayor Slayter

Noes: None

Absent: Councilmember Glass

Abstain: None

7. Approval of the Fiscal Year 2018-2019 City of Sebastopol Budget (Finance Director):
 - 7a. Approval of Resolution Approving and Adopting Fiscal Year 2018-2019 City of Sebastopol Budget;
 - 7b. Approval and Adoption of Resolution establishing the appropriations limit for the City of Sebastopol for the 2018-2019 pursuant to Article XIII B of the California Constitution;
 - 7c. Adoption of Resolution Approving Supplemental Law Enforcement Funds (SLEF);
 - 7d. Adoption of Resolution Amending City Council Financial Policy #69 (Finance Director)
 - 7e. Adoption of Resolution Amending City Council Purchasing Policy No. 89
 - 7f. Adoption of Resolution of City Council Investment Policy No. 90
 - 7g. Adoption of Resolution of City Council Capitalization and Depreciation Policy No. 91

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: Approved the following:

Fiscal Year 2018-2019 City of Sebastopol Budget (Finance Director):

- 7a. Approval of Resolution Approving and Adopting Fiscal Year 2018-2019 City of Sebastopol Budget;
- 7b. Approval and Adoption of Resolution establishing the appropriations limit for the City of Sebastopol for the 2018-2019 pursuant to Article XIII B of the California Constitution;
- 7c. Adoption of Resolution Approving Supplemental Law Enforcement Funds (SLEF);
- 7d. Adoption of Resolution Amending City Council Financial Policy #69 (Finance Director)

- 7e. Adoption of Resolution Amending City Council Purchasing Policy No. 89
- 7f. Adoption of Resolution of City Council Investment Policy No. 90
- 7g. Adoption of Resolution of City Council Capitalization and Depreciation Policy No. 91

MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-135

RESOLUTION NUMBERS: 6193, 6194, 6195, 6196, 6197, 6198, 6199

- 8. Approval and Adoption of Resolution Authorizing and Approving the City of Sebastopol Current Pay Rates and Ranges (Salary Schedule) (Finance Director)

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: Approved Adoption of Resolution Authorizing and Approving the City of Sebastopol Current Pay Rates and Ranges (Salary Schedule)

MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-136

RESOLUTION NUMBER: 6200

- 10. Approval of Use of City Council Initiatives Fund (FY 17-18) and Use of FY 17-18 City Council Funds (Account Code: 100-1001-4510) to be Approved for Carry over Into the FY 18-19 City Budget to be Used for an Appreciation Dinner for the Planning Commission, Design Review Board and Public Arts Committee to be Sponsored by the City Council at a future date/time to be determined (Councilmember Carnacchi)

Councilmember Carnacchi presented the agenda item recommending the City Council Approve Use of City Council Initiatives Fund (FY 17-18) and Use of FY 17-18 City Council Funds (Account Code: 100-1001-4510) to be Approved for Carry over Into the FY 18-19 City Budget to be Used for an Appreciation Dinner for the Planning Commission, Design Review Board and Public Arts Committee to be Sponsored by the City Council at a future date/time to be determined.

Mayor Slayter asked for questions.

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Stated it was not his intent to have staff attend this dinner
- Stated this is for volunteers
- Stated staff gets paid

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Concur with Councilmember Carnacchi
- Staff does get paid and the City does other things for the staff such as the appreciation luncheons
- Support Councilmember Carnacchi's request

Councilmember Gurney questioned who would be included as there are citizen representatives such as Helena Whistler, Bill Mattinson, Steve Pierce who also represent the City and volunteer to be on these committees.

Councilmember Carnacchi suggested inviting volunteers who are currently serving on the Board, Commission or committee.

Vice Mayor Hinton questioned the commitment level of the citizen representatives.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Helena Whistler has been the Chair of the Library Commission
- Went through JPA process
- Went from an experienced board to recomposed board

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed that the Planning Commission went through the Zoning Ordinance and General Plan update
- Not want to have anyone feel left out

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed speciosity of each person on the Board, commission of Committee
- No disqualifier to any member
- Appreciate everyone equally

City Manager McLaughlin suggested sending an invitation letter to the members.

Mayor Slayter opened for public comment. There was none.

Council Deliberations:

Vice Mayor Hinton moved and Councilmember Carnacchi seconded the motion to Approve of Use of City Council Initiatives Fund (FY 17-18) and Use of FY 17-18 City Council Funds (Account Code: 100-1001-4510) to be Approved for Carry over Into the FY 18-19 City Budget to be Used for an Appreciation Dinner for the Planning Commission, Design Review Board, Public Arts Committee and City Citizen Representatives to Various committees to be Sponsored by the City Council at a future date/time to be determined.

Discussion:

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows

- Would suggest all five Councilmember sign the invitation letter
- Suggest staff doing a doodle poll so that all Councilmembers can be in attendance

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carnacchi, Gurney, Vice Mayor Hinton and Mayor Slayter

Noes: None

Absent: Glass

Abstain: None

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: Approved Use of City Council Initiatives Fund (FY 17-18) and Use of FY 17-18 City Council Funds (Account Code: 100-1001-4510) to be Approved for Carry over Into the FY 18-19 City Budget to be Used for an Appreciation Dinner for the Planning Commission, Design Review Board, Public Arts Committee and City Citizen Representatives to Various committees to be Sponsored by the City Council at a future date/time to be determined.

MINUTE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-138

CITY COUNCIL REPORTS:

16. City Manager-Attorney/City Clerk Reports:

- *Nomination Period for City Council Candidates is Open July 16, 2018 – August 10, 2018 – dates to be confirmed) If 1 or more of the incumbents does not file by August 10, 2018, the nomination period will be extended for 5 days, until 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, August 15, 2018.*

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: None Required. Informational Only.
REFERENCE ORDER NUMBER: 2018-144

17. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards):

Councilmember Carnacchi reported on his meeting with Recology, Fred Stemmer as follows:

- Discussed employees who were let go (undocumented employees)
- Yet to get exact number of personnel who were let go from Recology
- Recently happened with Korb
- Discussed policies in place in CA that have made the current administration threaten to do work place raids by ICE
- Recology too big of a company and cannot afford that kind of publicity
- Sanctuary city policies put in place to help those individuals are now ending up costing them their jobs

Vice Mayor Hinton reported as follows:

- Discussed League of CA Cities meeting
- Partnership between County of Sonoma and City of Santa Rosa – discussed building housing close to transporting corridor – waive CEQA requirement
- Worked at Farmers Market on Father’s Day

Councilmember Gurney reported as follows:

- SCTA Written Report provided
- Assembly Member Wood bill discussed- keep eye on this
- Highway 37 redesign- dealing with climate change
- Worked Farmers Market June 10th
- Concern when someone not there from the Council
- Everyone is grateful when the Council is at the table
- Riding the Local Shuttle route 24 on Tuesday
- Sebastopol Walks June 30th

Mayor Slayter reported as follows:

- Reported on Sonoma Clean Power
- Board approved contract with new general counsel - Brings energy/knowledge to position
- Drive EV incentives coming back - third and final year
- Looking at community charging and Curbside charging
- Purchasing building in Santa Rosa - Net zero energy technologies
- Japanese Consul General reception
- *Report out – Publicly-Owned Properties Committee (formerly the Committee to Explore Ideas for a New City Hall and Civic Center including Expanded Facility for the Regional Public Library, then known as Pine Grove Square Civic Center Committee)*

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Discussed the name change of the committee
- Stated this is a new name
- Questioned if it is a permanent change

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Committee did change name
- Name is more accurate of the concept of the committee
- Became misunderstood with misinformation
- Take iconic name off and say what is

Vice Mayor Hinton questioned if a sub-committee can change its name without Council approval.

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Stated typically the full City Council would provide direction
- Stated he has never been asked of the name change
- No procedure for naming committee
- Names describes work better
- Discussed issue of continuing work – may be standing committee
- A councilmember has submitted an item to the agenda review committee
- Deal with it at that meeting
- If go forward need to review to see if standing committee

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Would like to see committee suspended until this is settled
- Otherwise assume that this is a report out of the Pine Grove Square Sub-committee

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Committee name was different earlier
- Discussion went to idea of finding location for new civic center and city hall
- Public owned properties were rejected except for downtown parking lot
- Attention turned to publicly owned parking lot
- Discussed summary of report
- Name changed as it went through the process
- Every step of the way the committee has reported out to the City Council
- Council has approved the sub-committee going forward and continuing the work

Councilmember Carnacchi stated this is a new direction for the sub-committee.

City Manager McLaughlin stated the sub-committee is not proposing any action tonight.

Mayor Slayter stated this is a committee report and no action is being discussed.

Councilmember Gurney stated the sub-committee can stay with the inaccurate name if that is the will of the Council.

Vice Mayor Hinton commented that this is an agenda item and the Council has the right to ask questions.

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Discussed how the sub-committee evolved
- Name change the way discussed
- Going back to original name fine

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed the Center the City committee originally
- Came about from the Northeast Area Plan discussion
- Discussed having something out of the flood plain
- Having the civic center in the middle of downtown

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Discussed the last paragraph of the report - the Sub-Committee will continue to explore the economic feasibility and the community and societal benefits of developing a plan for the future of publicly-owned land in the center of Sebastopol's Downtown
- Questioned if all publicly owned land will be looked at
- Discussed the societal benefits – not want to delegate that to two Councilmembers
- Has to work for the whole Council

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Discussed history of the sub-committee
- Evidenced by the public information the direction of the sub-committee

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Questioned if this includes the North High Street parking lot
- Stated Pine Grove Square became direct and focused
- Committee has changed direction

Mayor Slayter stated consideration of the parking lots was in the committee work and that the committee did explorations of private and public options.

Councilmember Carnacchi stated the civic center parking lot was one parking lot.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Explored all properties
- Discussed issues of all lots
- Considered them all

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- Explored different uses other than the ones they are holding
- Not proposing anything
- Conversation
- Exploration
- Vision in process

Councilmember Carnacchi stated that the item should be brought back as a full agenda item so that the public can make public comment on it.

Mayor Slayter commented this is a committee report out and that the public could make comments during the public comment portion of the meeting.

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Council can take public comment on any item
- Semblance of order and dialogue
- Provided history of the sub-committee
- Mis-information out there - People confused
- Recital of the history of this work

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Should not have to be confusing
- Not have to spell out history the project
- Should be public process
- People should be aware of what is going on

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- People not get in at beginning may be confused when they do jump in
- Not sure of purpose of this conversation

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- No decision tonight
- No action tonight
- Fit category of report out of committee
- Item on agenda a couple of weeks ago required action but was pulled by subcommittee – now it is a report out
- Written format most transparent way

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Two documents in writing provided (one tonight; one last Council meeting)
- Last meeting staff report provided more information - Not asking for that money
- Second document tonight chronicles the actions of the sub-committee
- Cannot act or spend money without Council approval

Councilmember Carnacchi questioned when this item is coming back to the City Council.

Mayor Slayter commented as follows:

- The ask for this item is gone
- No action is being taken
- This item will be returned to the Council when there is something to ask the council to take action on

Councilmember Carnacchi commented as follows:

- Standing committee
- Needs to be disbanded

18. Council Communications Received:

Vice Mayor Hinton commented as follows:

- Discussed email received
- Discussed brown act information

Councilmember Gurney questioned if a Councilmember can opine to all Councilmembers for an item on the agenda.

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Not jump in on Council emails from constituents
- Discussed Brown Act and email thread
- Not Brown Act if not a quorum of councilmembers participating
- Not create consensus
- Majority of Council quorum appear through email thread to be leading towards development of a consensus on City Council action item would be a violation of the Brown Act - If that does not happen, there is no violation of brown act

Councilmember Gurney commented as follows:

- Stated there was an opinion of an agenda item
- Stated a Councilmember made a statement to the entire Council on an email

City Manager McLaughlin commented as follows:

- Stated it could be seen as prejudging or biased on that Councilmember's part by expressing opinion ahead of time for an item on a later agenda
- No issue if not expressing an opinion
- Councilmember can announce that that they are keeping an opening mind and would be hard to prove bias

19. Future City Meeting Dates/Events (Informational Only): (See Agenda and City Web site for Up to Date Meeting Dates/Times)

CLOSED SESSION: None

ADJOURNMENT OF REGULAR MEETING:

This meeting was adjourned at 9:55 pm and is adjourned to the next Regular City Council Meeting will be held on Tuesday, July 17, 2018 at 6:00 pm, at the Sebastopol Youth Annex/Teen Center, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA. *The regular City Council Meeting of Tuesday, July 3rd, 2018 has been cancelled.*

Respectfully Submitted,

Mary Gourley
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk, MMC